

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE  
AT NASHVILLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA )

v. )

MARK BRYANT )

Case No.  
3:18-cr-00144

BEFORE THE HONORABLE WAVERLY D. CRENSHAW, JR., DISTRICT JUDGE

TRANSCRIPT

OF

PROCEEDINGS

February 6, 2019

Trial Volume 3

APPEARANCES ON THE FOLLOWING PAGE

PREPARED BY:

LISE S. MATTHEWS, RMR, CRR, CRC  
Official Court Reporter  
801 Broadway, Room A839  
Nashville, TN 37203  
lise\_matthews@tnmd.uscourts.gov

1 For the Government: Sara E. Myers  
2 U.S. Attorney's Office  
3 (Nashville Office)  
4 Middle District of Tennessee  
5 110 Ninth Avenue South  
6 Suite A961  
7 Nashville, Tennessee 37203-3870

8 Michael J. Songer  
9 U.S. Department of Justice  
10 Criminal Division  
11 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW  
12 Washington, DC 20530

13 For the Defendant: Peter J. Strianse  
14 Tune, Entrekin & White, P.C.  
15 31 Deaderick Street  
16 Suite 1700  
17 Nashville, Tennessee 37238  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

## I N D E X

Wednesday, February 6, 2019

INDEX OF WITNESSES

<u>WITNESSES:</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
JJ HANNAH	
DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. STRIANSE	37
CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. MYERS	42
REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. STRIANSE	57
REBECCA LYNN BURNEY	
DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. STRIANSE	59
CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. MYERS	66
DR. ARTHUR HAMILTON SMALL	
DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. STRIANSE	82
CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. MYERS	90
REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. STRIANSE	92
MARK MITCHELL BRYANT	
DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. STRIANSE	92

## EXHIBITS

(None)

1           The above-styled cause came on to be heard on  
2 February 6, 2019 before the Honorable Waverly D. Crenshaw,  
3 Jr., District Judge, when the following proceedings were had,  
4 to-wit:

5  
6           (Jury not present.)

7           THE COURT: All right. Be seated.

8           I think we're waiting on one juror; is that right?  
9 Okay. They're here now.

10           And I wanted to take up something -- I understand  
11 the Government had something to raise?

12           MS. MYERS: Yes, Your Honor.

13           Your Honor, we had filed a motion in limine to  
14 exclude post hoc issues regarding the victim, what happened  
15 with the victim after he was transported, what happened  
16 basically involving the victim regarding any testimony on  
17 determinations that were made about the victim later or any  
18 incidents that he might have had later that had no bearing on  
19 the elements of the conduct at issue here.

20           THE COURT: Okay.

21           MS. MYERS: And just wanted to make sure that that  
22 is clarified regarding the testimony that is planned, to make  
23 sure that we understand the bounds of what is going to be  
24 elicited and to make sure that some of that irrelevant  
25 testimony --

1 THE COURT: Do you think there's proof that we've  
2 gone too far? Is there proof after the incident on November  
3 the 5th that talks about the victim?

4 MS. MYERS: There actually might be.

5 THE COURT: No. Is there proof currently? I  
6 don't recall any proof now in the record about that.

7 MS. MYERS: I don't believe so.

8 THE COURT: Okay. I didn't either.

9 MS. MYERS: We're just anticipating if that is  
10 brought up today, we just want to make sure we're not going  
11 to go in any information that people learned about the  
12 victim --

13 THE COURT: Okay.

14 MS. MYERS: -- after these incidents occurred.

15 THE COURT: Do you anticipate that, Mr. Strianse?

16 MR. STRIANSE: Yes, Your Honor.

17 THE COURT: Go ahead.

18 MR. STRIANSE: Good morning, Your Honor.

19 THE COURT: Good morning.

20 MR. STRIANSE: My first witness this morning is a  
21 sergeant from the Cheatham County jail who was a correctional  
22 officer back at the relevant time. His testimony will be  
23 that he first came into contact with Mr. Norris before the  
24 events of November 5th, found him to be highly intoxicated,  
25 believed him to be under the influence of either PCP,

1 methamphetamine, or bath salts. And then he will talk about  
2 two events from November the 7th of 2016.

3           The first event occurred at about 3:30 a.m. on  
4 November the 7th, which was the Monday after the Saturday  
5 night, November 5, where he was working in the booking  
6 office. They had Mr. Norris in a cell, Cell 2, that has bars  
7 instead of a solid door, because they wanted to keep a  
8 careful watch on him, have a direct line of sight from the  
9 booking office.

10           They saw Mr. Norris walk to the back of Cell 2,  
11 reach down to grab one inmate, and then ended up assaulting  
12 another inmate by picking that inmate up off the floor,  
13 slamming him to the floor several times, knocking out the  
14 front tooth of that inmate. That was at 3:30 a.m.

15           And at 3:50 a.m., when the decision was made to  
16 transport Mr. Norris to the Middle Tennessee Mental Health  
17 Institute, there was another incident in the cell. They had  
18 to struggle with him, took him out to the car. Once they got  
19 him in the car and he was shackled at that point in time, his  
20 legs were shackled, he kicked out the window of the vehicle.  
21 Road officers were there assisting. He had to be put to the  
22 ground forcefully at that point in time.

23           I think that this information, Your Honor, is  
24 relevant to the jury's consideration of this case for a  
25 couple of reasons. One, I think it will help the jury in

1 deciding whether the force that was used on November the 5th  
2 by Mr. Bryant and other officers was reasonable or was it  
3 excessive. There is also an element of the offense that the  
4 government has not really put on much proof about. And that  
5 is the proof of bodily injury.

6           Given the activities of Mr. Norris at the jail, as  
7 combative as he was, how many fights and altercations he got  
8 into, particularly after he kicked out the back window of the  
9 patrol car, I think the jury could conclude that this bodily  
10 injury to his knee that the government will insist in closing  
11 argument was caused by a Taser could have been caused by any  
12 number of events that were befalling Mr. Norris at his time  
13 in the jail.

14           So, based on that, that would be my reason for  
15 calling Sgt. Schaeffer.

16           THE COURT: Okay. So just maybe to help the  
17 analysis, we're all looking at 404(a)(2)(B) (as read):

18           Subject to limitations in Rule 412, a defendant  
19 may offer evidence of an alleged victim's  
20 pertinent trait, and if that evidence is admitted,  
21 the prosecutor may offer evidence to rebut it and  
22 to offer evidence of defendant's same trait.

23           All right. Let me hear from the government.

24           MS. MYERS: Your Honor, under that analysis, it is  
25 simply not a pertinent trait of the victim as to whether or

1 not his civil rights were violated, which is what we're here  
2 about.

3           The opinion of a person who was not there at the  
4 time bears no relationship in terms of what someone perceived  
5 beforehand when they didn't perceive the conduct that was  
6 actually occurring at the time.

7           And anything that occurred two days after the  
8 event, after the relevant conduct would also not be relevant  
9 and highly prejudicial. And it would risk going into a mini  
10 trial on whether or not these incidents occurred, whether or  
11 not people were there to witness those incidents, and what  
12 they thought of those incidents on November 7th, after the  
13 fact.

14           We are here about conduct that occurred in a  
15 relevant time period and what people knew during that time  
16 period and how people behaved has the bearing on the  
17 willfulness, on the defendant's willfulness at that time.  
18 That is what we're looking at, not what people learned after  
19 the fact. And if the defendant didn't know things beforehand  
20 in that universe of information that he knew at the time,  
21 then it has no bearing on his willfulness.

22           And, Your Honor, we have outlined this issue in  
23 Document 47 that we filed in our supplement brief in support  
24 of our motion in limine.

25           THE COURT: Right. And I think I shared sort of



1 where my research had led me, and the analysis goes back to  
2 404. And I think, for purposes of resolving it, what they're  
3 raising here, what Defendant's raising here is covered by  
4 404, which generally says that evidence of a person's  
5 character or character trait is not admissible to prove that  
6 on a particular occasion the person acted in accordance with  
7 the character or trait.

8           So generally, under 404, you would not -- general  
9 rule that it's excluded.

10           But 404(2) gives the exceptions to that rule. And  
11 under the exceptions in 404(2)(B) is (as read):

12           Subject to the limitations in Rule 412,  
13           Mr. Bryant may offer evidence of Mr. Norris's  
14           pertinent trait, and if that evidence is admitted,  
15           the prosecutor -- the government -- can offer  
16           evidence to rebut it and offer evidence of the  
17           defendant's same trait.

18           And if you look at the cases that have construed  
19 that provision, one of the cases is specifically under  
20 404(a)(B), permits the defendant to offer evidence of an  
21 alleged victim's pertinent character trait as circumstantial  
22 proof that the victim acted in accordance with that character  
23 trait, citing *U.S. v. Smith*, 230 F.3d 300 at 307, 7th  
24 Circuit, 2000. Quote (as read):

25           Evidence of the violent character of the victim

1           is specifically the type of material that falls  
2           within the exception of 404(a)(2).

3           So, just so I understand the argument, what  
4 Mr. Bryant is saying, that under 404, while there's a general  
5 rule, he wants to present evidence of Mr. Norris's pertinent  
6 character trait as described by Mr. Strianse as  
7 circumstantial proof that the victim acted in accordance with  
8 that character trait.

9           MS. MYERS: Well, Your Honor, that would be let in  
10 under 404(B) only for the purpose to show motive,  
11 identification --

12           THE COURT: We're not at 404(B). I'm at 404 --  
13 again, I'm at 404(a) -- 404(a)(2)(B).

14           MS. MYERS: Well, Your Honor, it's not a pertinent  
15 trait of the victim that is being argued here as it relates  
16 to these specific elements. It can only be offered if  
17 there's a self-defense --

18           THE COURT: Let's deal with -- you've got to help  
19 me deal with the argument.

20           So Mr. Strianse is arguing it is relevant to a  
21 pertinent trait, and essentially the pertinent trait is how  
22 Mr. Norris reacts in a violent, combative way when -- when he  
23 is in the custody of law enforcement.

24           MS. MYERS: Even so, it must be limited to the  
25 form of reputation and opinion testimony. And that's under

1 405, reading all of these together, Your Honor. Reading all  
2 of these together --

3 THE COURT: Well, then let's -- before we move  
4 from 404(a)(2)(B), help me understand where I'm missing the  
5 analysis in your opinion.

6 MS. MYERS: A pertinent character trait to prove  
7 conformity therewith under 404(a)(2) --

8 THE COURT: Right. And we have a 7th Circuit case  
9 that specifically talks about evidence of the violent  
10 character of the victim. It's specifically the type of  
11 material that falls within the exception.

12 MS. MYERS: But that is a -- that is a  
13 self-defense case.

14 THE COURT: Identified as a self-defense case  
15 because later on here, it talks about self-defense cases, and  
16 this case isn't under that rubric.

17 MS. MYERS: I would need to --

18 THE COURT: But we need to look at the case maybe.

19 MS. MYERS: Your Honor, I would be happy to go  
20 back and read that case and be able to determine -- if that  
21 is the controlling case that Your Honor is citing for this,  
22 then I would like to be familiar with it so that I can speak  
23 intelligently about that particular point.

24 THE COURT: I think I raised it at the pretrial  
25 conference. And I was hoping that everybody would do your

1 own research and that would help the Court --

2 MS. MYERS: Well, and we did, Your Honor. And we  
3 filed Document 47.

4 THE COURT: Right.

5 MS. MYERS: And we have a number of cases, Sixth  
6 Circuit and otherwise, analyzing this particular issue.

7 THE COURT: But, again, I think 404(a)(2)(B) is  
8 the pertinent rule of evidence that I've got to determine.

9 MS. MYERS: But, Your Honor, that must also be  
10 read in conjunction --

11 THE COURT: We'll get there.

12 MS. MYERS: Thank you.

13 THE COURT: 404(a)(2)(B), it goes on to say that  
14 (as read):

15 When the evidence of a victim's character for  
16 violence is offered to prove that the victim acted  
17 in accord with that character trait in support of  
18 a claim -- now it says self-defense -- it is not  
19 necessary that the defendant show he or she was  
20 aware of the alleged victim's character trait in  
21 question.

22 If the defendant were aware of it, then certainly  
23 it -- it's admissible.

24 So you're going to have -- what's the witness's  
25 name?

1 MR. STRIANSE: Jason Schaeffer.

2 THE COURT: Is he a deputy sheriff?

3 MR. STRIANSE: Yes, he is. He's now a sergeant.  
4 He was a correctional officer back at the relevant time, but  
5 he's now been sergeant in the jail.

6 THE COURT: The problem, I guess, with your --  
7 this comes after the event, right? After November the 5th?

8 MR. STRIANSE: It does. It comes on November the  
9 7th.

10 THE COURT: So help me understand -- you sort of  
11 stopped at November 5th. So Mr. Norris was arrested, right,  
12 on the 5th?

13 MS. MYERS: On November 3rd.

14 THE COURT: On November 3rd. He was still there  
15 on the 5th.

16 Did he subsequently get out?

17 MR. STRIANSE: No, he didn't get out. On the --  
18 late on --

19 THE COURT: Oh, he was still there on the 7th?

20 MR. STRIANSE: Late on the night of November 5th,  
21 he was transported to the local hospital in Ashland City,  
22 stayed there about a day or so, returned to the jail.

23 THE COURT: Oh.

24 MR. STRIANSE: Then on November -- Monday,  
25 November the 7th, there was this incident. Transported to

1 Middle Tennessee Mental Health. They sent him to Summit in  
2 Nashville, back to Middle Tennessee Mental Health, back to  
3 the Cheatham County jail.

4 THE COURT: Okay. And that's where Mr. Schaeffer,  
5 your witness --

6 MR. STRIANSE: Mr. Schaeffer was --

7 THE COURT: -- there when he came back.

8 MR. STRIANSE: -- at that moment in time when they  
9 were transporting him on Monday, November the 7th, to the  
10 Middle Tennessee Mental Health Institute.

11 MS. MYERS: And, Your Honor, he was not tased.  
12 There was no tasing that occurred involving the defendant or  
13 Jordan Norris on November 7th.

14 THE COURT: And I don't think he's offering it for  
15 tasing evidence. He's offering it that --

16 MR. STRIANSE: No.

17 THE COURT: -- Mr. Norris has the character trait  
18 of responding -- being difficult with law enforcement. And  
19 that was evidenced on the 5th, and here is another incident  
20 it was evidenced.

21 I guess, in some way, is this really character  
22 evidence or is it habit evidence?

23 MS. MYERS: Your Honor, I would characterize it --  
24 and I think it falls under the propensity evidence, because  
25 he did something one time; he is most likely to have done it

1 this time. And that is --

2 THE COURT: Now, that sort of supports the  
3 defendant's argument, because they're trying to show that  
4 that is one of his character traits.

5 MS. MYERS: Precisely what the rule prohibits,  
6 though, Your Honor.

7 THE COURT: But not 404(a)(2)(B).

8 MS. MYERS: But then it has to be analyzed under  
9 405 and 403.

10 THE COURT: And we will. But I'm -- it sounds  
11 like we're -- it sounds like you're conceding it falls under  
12 404(a)(2)(B). I need to hear why you think that's not the  
13 case. It does seem to fit.

14 MS. MYERS: I don't think that it fits.

15 THE COURT: Because?

16 MS. MYERS: Because, in terms of the relevant  
17 conduct in this case, unless you can show motive,  
18 preparation, plan, evidence --

19 THE COURT: No. No. You're going under 404(b)  
20 now. We need to stick with 404 -- we'll get to 404(b) and  
21 403. But right now it does seem like the evidence he's  
22 offering fits under 404(2) -- 2(B) -- (a)(2)(B).

23 I read the briefs and they were helpful to an  
24 extent, but obviously the Court felt like I needed more  
25 analysis. And that's why we did our own sort of analysis, or

1 I did my own analysis to try to figure through this.

2 All right. What else do you say about -- now that  
3 we've -- I think we've dealt with 404(a)(2)(B).

4 I guess you also argue that under 403 it's too  
5 prejudicial, correct?

6 MS. MYERS: That's correct.

7 THE COURT: Okay.

8 MS. MYERS: To encourage nullification. It  
9 outweighs any probative value that would relate in any way to  
10 any of the elements regarding the events that we're here  
11 about on November 5th of 2016. We're here regarding the  
12 defendant's tasing of Jordan Norris on November 5th and the  
13 four charges that resulted from that time period.

14 THE COURT: Now, one of those charges is Counts  
15 One and Two, and I'm going to charge the jury in Count One  
16 and Two, fourth, that defendant's conduct resulted in bodily  
17 injury to Jordan Norris or that the defendant used a  
18 dangerous weapon -- or that the defendant used a dangerous  
19 weapon.

20 MS. MYERS: That's --

21 THE COURT: Fourth, that the defendant's conduct  
22 resulted -- so he's arguing the bodily injury part. But I  
23 guess, Mr. Strianse, Element 4 could be satisfied if the jury  
24 found he used the Taser as a dangerous weapon.

25 MR. STRIANSE: But this does not relieve the



1 government of the obligation to prove bodily injury. They're  
2 giving -- they're giving the jury alternative theories and  
3 they've asked for a unanimity instruction on whether it's  
4 bodily injury or whether it's the use of a dangerous weapon.  
5 That doesn't relieve them of the obligation.

6           And I think it's fair to put on proof that, given  
7 the violent, erratic behavior of Mr. Norris over these series  
8 of a few days, that he could have received this injury to his  
9 knee in a way other than tasing. There's been no pictures of  
10 any injury. There's been no real specific proof regarding  
11 the injury.

12           THE COURT: Well, we've got the person -- the  
13 direct proof from the female at the jail --

14           MS. MYERS: Mrs. Marriott, Officer Marriott.

15           THE COURT: -- who testified that she, on the day  
16 of the event, saw his injury and described in gruesome detail  
17 what it appeared like to her.

18           So, from there, if the jury believed what that  
19 officer said, that would be proof from which they could  
20 conclude that Mr. Norris had bodily injury.

21           MR. STRIANSE: She --

22           THE COURT: And then you've got the other proof  
23 from --

24           MS. MYERS: Special Agent Joy Wright.

25           THE COURT: -- Joy Wright, whose name I keep

1 forgetting.

2 MR. STRIANSE: But that was 17 months after the  
3 fact.

4 THE COURT: That's right. And that -- her proof  
5 then would -- if you go with her proof then -- then proof of  
6 other injuries that he said becomes very relevant, because  
7 when Ms. Wright looked at it, she doesn't know if it came  
8 from November 5th or came sometime between November 5th and  
9 when she saw it.

10 MR. STRIANSE: And I --

11 THE COURT: So proof that it could have come from  
12 another angle would be --

13 MR. STRIANSE: Fair comment that, given the  
14 cross-examination of Ms. Marriott, she had not seen  
15 Mr. Norris when he was admitted to the jail on November 3rd  
16 to see if he had that injury on his knee on November 3rd. I  
17 think that was her testimony on cross-examination.

18 THE COURT: I think that's right.

19 MS. MYERS: Well, Your Honor, it is important to  
20 note, though, that it doesn't have to be a visible physical  
21 bodily injury: The only requirement is that pain occurred.  
22 Pain was inflicted. And so that is an analysis that we will  
23 be walking through. That's a requirement.

24 So bodily injury is also satisfied by pain, which  
25 we've heard several people testify about the pain of tasing,

1 and the most important evidence that we have in this case is  
2 the video itself. The actual video of the events as they  
3 occurred, as the people -- as the jury members can witness  
4 with their own eyes, as they watched how the victim  
5 experienced those tasings for such long periods of time, how  
6 he physically reacted.

7 THE COURT: Let's go back to your first thing  
8 because y'all have all gotten a copy of the chart. And we  
9 define (as read):

10 Bodily injury means injury to the body, no  
11 matter how minor and temporary. It includes any  
12 cut, abrasion, bruise, burn, disfigurement,  
13 illness, physical pain, or impairment of a bodily  
14 member or mental faculty. The government need not  
15 prove that the defendant intended to cause bodily  
16 injury. The government also need not prove that a  
17 defendant's acts were the sole cause of bodily  
18 injury. But the government must prove -- the  
19 government must simply prove the offense resulted  
20 in bodily injury to Jordan Norris.

21 So bodily injury is more than pain. It's all  
22 those things. And Ms. Wright's testimony could be -- could  
23 be -- the jury may very well want to weigh Ms. Wright's  
24 testimony and put that in perspective if he had incurred  
25 physical injury between November 5th and the time he met with

1 Ms. Wright.

2 All right. Go ahead.

3 MS. MYERS: Well, so, Your Honor, as that charge  
4 demonstrates, pain, though, is enough.

5 THE COURT: It's one of enough.

6 MS. MYERS: It's one. Yes.

7 THE COURT: It's not the only.

8 MS. MYERS: Yes. But it's very important to know  
9 that there doesn't have to be a visible injury.

10 THE COURT: Right. But Mr. Bryant -- Mr. Bryant  
11 wants to put on proof as to these others, that there may have  
12 been another reason that he had a physical -- he had a cut,  
13 abrasion, bruise, disfigurement, et cetera, in order to  
14 counter the testimony of Ms. Wright, who saw him several  
15 months later.

16 MS. MYERS: The relevance in terms of what those  
17 injuries looked like -- because Taser wounds are very  
18 specific: They're round, they're burns. As we heard that  
19 testimony, they were all over his --

20 THE COURT: Yes. Sorry. Go ahead.

21 MS. MYERS: Oh. Sorry. They're very specific  
22 injuries. And the testimony that we have heard here was  
23 directly related to that.

24 THE COURT: Yeah.

25 MS. MYERS: So --

1           THE COURT: But it's going to be up to the jury to  
2 give that whatever weight they want. All Mr. Bryant is  
3 saying is let me present some proof for the jury to consider  
4 on the issue of his injury, the extent of his injury.

5           MS. MYERS: As opposed to his character for  
6 violence. And that's what resulted in the injury.

7           THE COURT: Well, I think it's -- well, now,  
8 Mr. Strianse you make your argument. I'm not going to make  
9 it for you. Maybe I'm misunderstanding.

10          MR. STRIANSE: Your Honor, I didn't hear the last  
11 thing that she said.

12          MS. MYERS: Well, there is a difference between  
13 showing an alternative for how someone might have received an  
14 injury and someone's character for violence.

15          THE COURT: Well, I agree. And I raised that  
16 issue. I raised that second.

17                I think the proof that Mr. -- so we've got two  
18 issues. The one is under 404(a)(2)(B). And then I raised  
19 separately, looking at the elements here of Counts One and  
20 Two, the proof that Mr. Strianse is trying to offer or wants  
21 to offer on behalf of Mr. Bryant, is that what occurred  
22 between November the 5th and when Ms. Wright saw him, proof  
23 that might in his view give -- give -- at least give the jury  
24 something to weigh and consider on whether or not Mr. --  
25 Mr. Jordan had bodily injury.

1 MS. MYERS: Well, I think --

2 THE COURT: In other words, maybe an intervening  
3 cause to it, to whatever Ms. Wright saw on that day.

4 Go ahead. I didn't mean to cut you off.

5 MS. MYERS: And not getting into the specifics of  
6 those acts, but whether or not somebody saw injuries on him  
7 can absolutely --

8 THE COURT: Yeah.

9 MS. MYERS: I would concede that point. Whether  
10 or not someone saw injuries.

11 But how that person might have known about a  
12 specific act, a specific piece of conduct that we're looking  
13 at after the fact and to whether or not that was the cause of  
14 a specific injury would not be permissible.

15 THE COURT: Well, that's going to be up to the  
16 jury. Now you want me to weigh the evidence. The jury can  
17 decide how much weight it wants to give to Ms. Marriott's  
18 testimony, Ms. Wright's testimony, or whatever proof.  
19 They'll weigh and balance that and give proof that -- the  
20 weight they think is appropriate.

21 All right. What else on this issue?

22 MS. MYERS: Other than reading it in conjunction,  
23 Your Honor, with 405, regarding this character evidence, if  
24 it is admissible at all, it must be limited in form to  
25 reputation and opinion, which someone broadly might testify

1 today -- I don't know -- that, you know, he had a reputation  
2 for being an unruly inmate. For causing disturbances. He  
3 had a reputation for those things.

4 But, even so, we have the video. And under 403, I  
5 think that's a very important analysis. We're looking at  
6 what happened that night. They're able to watch what  
7 happened that night.

8 THE COURT: Right. But they're free -- and, you  
9 know, we all saw the video. But I'm sure you know as well as  
10 the Court knows, the jury's free to give that video whatever  
11 weight they want to.

12 MS. MYERS: Absolutely.

13 THE COURT: They're free to do that.

14 MS. MYERS: And it is the basis -- that conduct in  
15 the video is the basis for the charges in this case.

16 THE COURT: Okay. And it's in evidence. And  
17 they're going to have a computer back there. They can watch  
18 it fast, slow, or however they will -- want to.

19 All right. Anything else on this?

20 MS. MYERS: No, Your Honor.

21 THE COURT: Mr. Strianse, why don't you have the  
22 last word on this.

23 MR. STRIANSE: Your Honor, I think the Court  
24 understands the analysis. Even if you don't get into the  
25 weeds on 404 or 405, 403, I think it is relevant and

1 admissible on the issue of the injury. The government really  
2 emphasized in their case in chief the gruesome nature of this  
3 injury. Now they're trying to say, well, pain could be a  
4 circumstance that would satisfy that element.

5 That doesn't alter the fact that I should have the  
6 opportunity to present a complete defense to provide the jury  
7 with at least some facts to support an argument that, given  
8 this riotous behavior at the jail from November 5th through  
9 November 7th, this injury could have occurred when he kicked  
10 the window out, when he was fighting with other inmates in  
11 the jail. I think on that reason alone, it's admissible.

12 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Let me give you  
13 another issue that the Court is concerned about. Yesterday  
14 we admitted Government's Exhibit 3 without objection, and  
15 it's in the record. But I am concerned that we may need --  
16 and I want you all to think and talk about this -- I think we  
17 need some kind of limiting instruction.

18 Because, going through Exhibit 3, it cites cases.  
19 It attempts to summarize cases in other excessive force  
20 cases. And I think I need to make clear to the jury that the  
21 instructions they are to follow are the instructions that the  
22 Court gives them. And I don't want them looking at Exhibit 3  
23 and trying to discern legal principles and apply those legal  
24 principles in this case. So that's my first concern.

25 Exhibit 3 is in without objection, but I think I



1 need to tell them we need to -- we need to give them some  
2 limiting instruction. And don't hold me to this, but what --  
3 what we've come up with is that (as read):

4           This exhibit, Exhibit 3, contained in part some  
5 discussion of legal issues regarding excessive  
6 force. It is important for you to understand that  
7 you are to consider Exhibit 3 with all the other  
8 evidence in the case and use Exhibit 3 for the  
9 limited purpose of considering what information  
10 had been presented to the defendant in his  
11 training and the defendant's state of mind on  
12 November 5th, 2016. You -- you shall not -- you  
13 shall not consider Exhibit 3 for the purpose of  
14 defining the law that you will apply in this case.  
15 Only I will instruct you on the legal standards  
16 relevant to the charges in this case that you will  
17 apply to the defendant's conduct.

18           And I guess I would propose, since Exhibit 3 is in  
19 and occurred yesterday, that we include this limiting  
20 instruction in the -- in the final instruction.

21           MR. STRIANSE: Your Honor, I have no objection to  
22 that at all.

23           THE COURT: All right. But I'm going to -- I want  
24 you all to see -- I read it slowly. So give me your reaction  
25 to that.

1 MS. MYERS: We also have no objection.

2 THE COURT: All right. Well, I wish you all had  
3 prepared the limiting instruction then. So we're going to  
4 try to finalize that instruction and include it in the  
5 charge.

6 All right. I'm going to take a break, and then  
7 I'll come back and rule on this testimony pertaining to what  
8 occurred after November 5th.

9 (Brief recess.)

10 THE COURT: All right. Be seated.

11 All right. Before the Court is the motion of the  
12 government as well as the motion of the defendant regarding  
13 presentation of evidence from a witness who had interaction  
14 with Mr. Norris, the victim, after November the 5th,  
15 specifically, I believe, a couple days later on November the  
16 7th.

17 As I understand the defendant, Mr. Bryant argues  
18 that he wants to present this evidence in order to show  
19 Mr. Norris's trait, specifically his reaction, his combative  
20 reaction when he's in the custody of law enforcement; two,  
21 permit the jury to infer that on November the 5th he acted in  
22 a similar manner and thereby justify or at least give the  
23 jury grounds -- proof from which the jury may find that  
24 Mr. Bryant's actions on November the 5th were appropriate and  
25 not in violation of Mr. Norris's constitutional rights.

1           In reviewing -- based on the arguments presented,  
2 the Court believes that under Rule 404 that evidence  
3 appeared -- the Court finds falls within the exception in  
4 Rule 404(a)(2)(B), which specifically instructs the Court  
5 that evidence of a victim in a criminal case subject to the  
6 limitations in 412, a defendant, Mr. Bryant, may offer  
7 evidence of alleged victim's pertinent trait. And if that  
8 evidence is admitted, the government may offer evidence to  
9 rebut it and offer evidence of the defendant's same trait.

10           So it appears that the evidence that Mr. Bryant  
11 wants to offer falls within the literal text -- textual  
12 language in 404(a)(2)(B). And I do think the argument --  
13 there's a good basis for that argument.

14           Here, the witness, Mr. -- the witness that  
15 Mr. Bryant wants to present, Mr. Schaeffer --

16           MR. STRIANSE: Schaeffer.

17           THE COURT: -- was a law enforcement officer for  
18 the Cheatham County Sheriff's Department on November the 7th  
19 and had dealings with Mr. Norris after the 5th, specifically  
20 having to do with maintaining Mr. Norris's custody while in  
21 the sheriff department's -- subject to the sheriff  
22 department's control.

23           Mr. Schaeffer would present specific instances on  
24 November the 7th of Mr. Norris's alleged combative behavior  
25 while in custody of the Cheatham County Sheriff's Department.

1           The Court goes to Rule 405, which talks about the  
2 methods of proving character. And as the parties have  
3 recognized, a reputation or opinion evidence is the preferred  
4 means of proving such character traits. However, Rule 405(b)  
5 does address this situation where Mr. Bryant wants to present  
6 through Mr. Schaeffer specific instances of conduct,  
7 specifically, the conduct of Mr. Norris and the reaction of  
8 the Cheatham County Sheriff's Department to Mr. Norris's  
9 conduct on November the 7th.

10           Specifically, rule 405(b) says that (as read):

11           When a person's character or character trait is  
12 an essential element of a charge, claim, or  
13 defense, the character or trait may also be proved  
14 by relevant specific instances of the person's  
15 conduct.

16           So the literal terms of 405(b) would appear to  
17 allow Mr. Bryant to present that evidence, because here,  
18 one -- one of his defense appears to be that the force that  
19 he used, regarding Mr. Norris on the 5th, was reasonably  
20 necessary because of Mr. Norris's reaction, combative  
21 reaction, specifically, on the 5th.

22           The analysis this -- on a time frame gives the  
23 Court pause, because if I allow the evidence in, it  
24 necessarily means that the jury may be asked to infer that on  
25 November the 7th, Mr. Norris's character trait for being

1 combative in law enforcement custody was the same as it was  
2 on November 5th. That's got to be the logical linchpin of  
3 Mr. Bryant's argument.

4           And the Court's unwilling to do that because this  
5 witness is not going to be able to address what occurred to  
6 Mr. Norris on the 6th or -- or even on the 7th before he  
7 returned to -- when this witness came to be involved with  
8 him.

9           In other words, there's no proof for the jury to  
10 make that logical -- to make that inference because we don't  
11 know what occurred to Mr. Norris in the intervening time.  
12 And to allow the jury to make an inference based on specific  
13 conduct that occurred on the 7th, I think is logically and  
14 factually too much of a leap.

15           Specifically, the Court notes of the three methods  
16 of proving character provided in Rule 405, evidence of  
17 specific instances of conduct is the most convincing. At the  
18 same time, quoting (as read):

19           It possesses the greatest capacity to arouse  
20 prejudice, to confuse, to surprise, and to consume  
21 time. Consequently, the rule confines the use of  
22 evidence of this kind to cases in which the  
23 character is in a strict sense an issue and is  
24 deserving of a searching inquiry. When character  
25 is used circumstantially and hence occupies a

1           lesser status in the case, proof may be only by  
2           reputation or opinion.

3           Based on that, I think allowing Mr. Schaeffer to  
4           testify about specific instances does create possible  
5           confusion, prejudice, and -- and should not be allowed, and  
6           I'm not going to allow it under 404 or 405.

7           However, as we discussed, the charge here that the  
8           Court is going to give, especially as it has to do with  
9           Counts One and Two, require the jury to make a factual  
10          finding regarding whether Mr. Norris incurred bodily injury.

11          And the Government argues -- well, it's -- will  
12          argue to the jury that he incurred pain, and, indeed, pain is  
13          one of the ways that bodily injury can be proved. Of course,  
14          it's up to the jury to decide if it accepts or rejects or how  
15          much weight it gives that. But pain is not the only way that  
16          bodily injury can be.

17          So here Mr. Bryant wants to present proof as part  
18          of his defense that the physical injuries that Mr. Norris had  
19          could have been the result of something other than the tasing  
20          which is at issue here in the case. Indeed, we've had proof  
21          from the Special Agent of the FBI, when she observed  
22          Mr. Norris's body -- of what she observed on Mr. Norris's  
23          body several months afterwards. That's not the only proof,  
24          but again, it's not the rule and function of the Court to  
25          weigh the evidence. That's up to the jury to determine.

1           Mr. Bryant wants to present proof that the  
2       bodily -- the bodily injuries testified to by Agent Wright  
3       could have been as a result of some other event. So I am  
4       going to allow limited proof by Mr. Schaeffer only for that  
5       purpose.

6           So what I envision, you can put Mr. Schaeffer on.  
7       "My name is Mr. Schaeffer. I work for the Cheatham County  
8       Sheriff's Department. I was a deputy sheriff for the  
9       Cheatham County sheriff in November of 2016. And as a result  
10      of my performance of my duties, I came in contact with  
11      Mr. Norris, Jordan Norris. After November the 5th, on  
12      November the 7th, while Mr. Norris was in my custody, he  
13      sustained injury." I don't think we need to go into how he  
14      sustained the injury. But he sustained bodily injury.

15           Mr. Schaeffer: "What was the nature -- describe  
16      the bodily injury he sustained?"

17           "While he was in my custody, he hurt his arm, hurt  
18      his leg," whatever it was. "And as a result, I guess, of  
19      that" -- I don't know -- you know, "I made sure he received  
20      medical treatment" or whatever the ending part of that is.

21           But Mr. Schaeffer can testify that while  
22      Mr. Norris was in his custody, he sustained physical injury.

23           I'm assuming -- I've heard something about he --  
24      he -- I guess he used his leg to burst through a window or  
25      something like that?

1           MR. STRIANSE: He -- Your Honor, he kicked out the  
2 window of the patrol car when they were trying to load him in  
3 to take him to Middle Tennessee Mental Health. But in the  
4 proffer of evidence that I gave the Court when we started  
5 this morning, I don't think it's -- it's in the capacity of  
6 this witness to say that Mr. Norris sustained a bodily  
7 injury.

8           THE COURT: Oh.

9           MR. STRIANSE: I was very precise in what I --  
10 tried to be precise in what I told the Court. He was here to  
11 tell the jury about the events of this man kicking the window  
12 out, the fact that the road officers had to forcibly put him  
13 down on the ground, and that would be something that I would  
14 argue to the jury that they could infer from that incident --

15          THE COURT: Right.

16          MR. STRIANSE: -- that that, among other  
17 incidents, could have caused the bodily injury.

18                But I don't have a factual predicate to ask this  
19 witness if he knew that Mr. Norris sustained a bodily injury.  
20 I don't think he's going to be able to answer that question.

21          THE COURT: Okay. Well, I misunderstood. I guess  
22 for the reasons stated, as well as your argument, I don't  
23 think the jury -- I think it would cause -- it's too far of a  
24 logical and factual leap for the jury to infer that on  
25 November the 7th, when he reacted as he did, he did the same



1 on the 5th.

2 As I understand the proffer and information, he  
3 may have gone to a medical facility to get physical medical  
4 care. He may have been sent for a mental evaluation. And  
5 without -- based -- without some evidence to tie all that  
6 together, it's just too much to assume --

7 I say by way of example, I don't know -- the jury  
8 won't know that if between November 5th and November 7th he  
9 was given some medication that may have caused him to react  
10 that way on the 7th, or he was reacting to mental treatment  
11 or whatnot that could have happened.

12 It's too far of an inference for the jury -- which  
13 they necessarily will have to do. They will have to infer  
14 that on November 7th, what he did there occurred in some  
15 portion on the 5th. And for the reasons stated, I think  
16 that's -- that evidence of specific instances of that conduct  
17 is not permitted under 405.

18 MR. STRIANSE: Your Honor, just so the record's  
19 complete --

20 THE COURT: Sure. Sure.

21 MR. STRIANSE: -- should there be any review of  
22 this matter, on January 27th, I filed my response at Docket  
23 Entry 31 to the Government's omnibus motion in limine. And  
24 not only do I discuss 405 that the Court was discussing  
25 today, I also discussed 404(b) and cited to the Court a case

1 out of the Middle District of Tennessee, *Cummins v. Phillips*,  
2 which cites a Tennessee Supreme Court case.

3 And *Cummins v. Phillips* used this language in  
4 regard to 404(b) -- and I'm reading from my filing at page ID  
5 Number 180.

6 THE COURT: I'm with you.

7 MR. STRIANSE: (As read):

8 "Evidence of other crimes, wrongs or acts" is  
9 admissible if presented to the Court for  
10 nonpropensity purposes, such as "to establish  
11 motive, intent, identity, absence of mistake, or  
12 common plan or scheme or contextual background."

13 And that was the reason why I cited *Cummins* to the  
14 Court. And I wanted to make sure that the record is clear if  
15 there is any review of this. I didn't want --

16 THE COURT: Do you have any cases that explain  
17 what is meant by "contextual background"?

18 MR. STRIANSE: Nothing other than I've cited,  
19 *Cummins* and then *State v. Little*, which is a Tennessee  
20 Supreme Court case that the District Court here in the Middle  
21 District of Tennessee borrowed from.

22 THE COURT: All right. And do they give any  
23 definition of what "contextual background" means?

24 MR. STRIANSE: Your Honor, I -- I'm going to give  
25 it its common meaning. I think that this evidence would give

1 contextual background to the incident on November the 5th,  
2 2016. So the jury's not looking at that in a vacuum.

3 I think it's -- the probative value is certainly  
4 not outweighed by any danger of unfair prejudice, which is  
5 the 403 analysis that the Court would engage in in applying  
6 404(b).

7 MR. SONGER: Your Honor, if I could briefly  
8 address the last point, I could discuss what the *Cummins* case  
9 is about.

10 THE COURT: Hold on just a second.

11 All right. Go ahead.

12 MR. SONGER: Your Honor, I just want to point out  
13 that the language that Mr. Strianse just mentioned from the  
14 *Cummins* case was just a phrase the Court used in dicta. That  
15 case dealt with whether evidence was properly admitted under  
16 Rule 404(b) to prove motive. The victim in that case was  
17 involved in methamphetamine dealing.

18 THE COURT: Do you have a copy?

19 MR. SONGER: I don't have a copy of the case with  
20 me. I apologize. But --

21 THE COURT: But I think we would all agree that  
22 404(b) does not -- I don't find it explicitly contains  
23 contextual background.

24 Do you, Mr. Strianse?

25 MR. STRIANSE: The text of the --

1 THE COURT: 404(b) doesn't include that, does it?

2 MR. SONGER: It does not.

3 THE COURT: Does not.

4 MR. SONGER: And in fact, it explicitly excludes  
5 evidence of just general acts that can be used for  
6 propensity.

7 In *Cummins*, while that language was used in dicta,  
8 dealt with a victim who was involved in methamphetamine  
9 dealing, and the allegation was that that involvement in that  
10 drug dealing was the specific motive for the homicide at  
11 issue in that case.

12 So it was admitted under one of the express terms  
13 of Rule 404(b), which is evidence that could be used for  
14 another purpose, like motive. So it's not applicable here.

15 THE COURT: All right. Okay.

16 All right. So based on that, is the defense ready  
17 to proceed?

18 MR. STRIANSE: Yes, Your Honor.

19 THE COURT: Okay. Bring in the jury.

20 (Jury present.)

21 THE COURT: All right. Be seated.

22 All right. Ladies and gentlemen, I apologize. I  
23 had to take care of some matters and we got a later start  
24 than anticipated.

25 Yesterday the government closed its case, and now

1 the defendant will present its case.

2 Are you ready to call your first witness?

3 MR. STRIANSE: Yes, Your Honor. Call JJ Hannah.

4 THE COURT: All right. If you'll stop there,  
5 we'll swear you in.

6 COURT DEPUTY: Please raise your right hand.

7

8 JJ HANNAH,

9 called as a witness by Defendant, was duly sworn and  
10 testified as follows:

11

12 COURT DEPUTY: Please be seated.

13 Please pull the microphone close and state and  
14 spell your full name for the court reporter.

15 THE WITNESS: JJ Hannah, J-J, H-a-n-n-a-h.

16

17 DIRECT EXAMINATION

18 BY MR. STRIANSE:

19 Q. Good morning, Mr. Hannah.

20 A. Good morning.

21 Q. How are you employed, sir?

22 A. I work for the Cheatham County sheriff's office.

23 Q. And how long have you worked for the Cheatham County  
24 sheriff's office?

25 A. Since August of 2000.

1 Q. August of 2000. What is your title at the Cheatham  
2 County sheriff's office?

3 A. I'm the jail administrator.

4 Q. And how long have you been the jail administrator?

5 A. About five or six years, I believe.

6 Q. To give the jury some idea of the hierarchy at the jail,  
7 who's in charge of the jail?

8 A. The sheriff is the ultimate power of the jail.

9 Q. And then who is right below the sheriff?

10 A. The chief deputy.

11 Q. And then where do you fall in the pecking order?

12 A. I'm below the chief deputy.

13 Q. Okay. And are you sort of the hands-on day-to-day  
14 operator of the jail?

15 A. Yes, sir.

16 Q. Okay. And do you know the defendant in this case, Mark  
17 Bryant?

18 A. I do, yes, sir.

19 Q. And was he employed at your office at some point in  
20 time?

21 A. He was, yes, sir.

22 Q. I think you're familiar with the Jordan Norris case; is  
23 that right?

24 A. Yes, sir, I am.

25 Q. And I believe you were interviewed by both the FBI and

1 the TBI in connection with that case; is that right?

2 A. Yes, sir.

3 Q. And do you recall being interviewed back in August of  
4 2017?

5 A. I recall being interviewed. I don't know exactly what  
6 date it was.

7 Q. Okay. Do you remember a civil lawsuit being filed  
8 against the jail back then?

9 A. Yes, sir, I remember. I don't remember the exact date,  
10 but I do remember a lawsuit being filed.

11 Q. And was your interview by law enforcement, the FBI and  
12 the TBI, shortly after that?

13 A. I believe so.

14 Q. I want to direct your attention back to November 5 of  
15 2016 when this incident with Jordan Norris occurred at the  
16 Cheatham County jail.

17 You were not working that night; is that right?

18 A. No, sir.

19 Q. If you would, tell the jury what was your understanding  
20 of the Taser policy that was in effect as of November 5,  
21 2016.

22 A. I don't know the whole policy. I can't quote the whole  
23 policy, but I can tell you the high points. The policy that  
24 we had at the time stated that you should use the minimum  
25 amount of tases to get the person immobilized as you need it.

1 I don't know the exact verbiage, but that was basically how  
2 it goes.

3 Q. Was there any specific number or duration of tases that  
4 was prescribed by the policy at that time?

5 A. No, sir, not in the policy.

6 Q. And was there anything in the policy about not tasing an  
7 individual who was restrained?

8 A. No, sir, it wasn't in the policy.

9 Q. Was there anything in the policy at that time in  
10 November of 2016 about a correctional officer not using any  
11 inappropriate language?

12 A. Not in the Taser policy, no, sir.

13 Q. Okay. You and I have discussed the policy that was in  
14 effect at the time in November of 2016; is that right?

15 A. Yes, sir.

16 Q. And how did you characterize the old policy that was in  
17 effect at the time?

18 A. I had made a statement to the TBI and FBI that the Taser  
19 policy wasn't very strong at the time of the incident.

20 Q. That you all didn't have much of a policy at that time?

21 A. Yes, sir.

22 Q. And was there any limitation on drive stun tasing at the  
23 time, November of 2016?

24 A. Not in the Taser policy, no, sir.

25 THE COURT: Hold on, Mr. Strianse.



1 All right. Go ahead.

2 BY MR. STRIANSE:

3 Q. Lt. Hannah, are illegal drugs smuggled into the jail?

4 A. On a regular basis there's stuff smuggled in and out of  
5 the jail.

6 Q. And you said it happens on a regular basis?

7 A. Semi-regular basis.

8 Q. Okay. So it's something that you all deal with all the  
9 time?

10 A. It's an ongoing problem, yes, sir.

11 Q. And I assume once the drugs are smuggled in that inmates  
12 use the drugs?

13 A. They use them. Sometimes they get caught with them and  
14 they get charged with a crime inside the jail.

15 MR. STRIANSE: Your Honor, may I have one moment?

16 THE COURT: Sure.

17 BY MR. STRIANSE:

18 Q. Do you remember how long Mark Bryant worked with you all  
19 at the Cheatham County sheriff's office?

20 A. I don't know the exact time. It was -- I want to say  
21 two or three years, at least.

22 Q. And do you remember what rank he had risen to by -- at  
23 the time that he was placed on administrative leave?

24 A. I know he was a corporal or sergeant. I'm not 100  
25 percent sure. I would have to look back at the files.

1 Q. And did you work with him on a regular basis?

2 A. No, sir. He worked on -- I mean, I was his direct  
3 supervisor, but he worked on -- I believe he worked on the  
4 2:00 to 10:00 shift and I worked 8:00 to 4:00.

5 Q. In your role as his supervisor, did you feel like you  
6 came to know him?

7 A. In a work manner, yes, sir.

8 Q. And you evaluated his job performance?

9 A. I did.

10 Q. Would you have an opinion as to his character for being  
11 a law-abiding citizen?

12 A. I -- I know of no other incident other than this that --  
13 that he had a negative outcome on.

14 MR. STRIANSE: Thank you.

15 THE COURT: All right. Cross-examination.

16

17 CROSS-EXAMINATION

18 BY MS. MYERS:

19 Q. Good morning, Mr. Hannah.

20 A. Good morning.

21 Q. So you relied on Gary Ola to conduct the Taser training  
22 at the jail; is that right?

23 A. Yes, ma'am.

24 Q. And there was no person at the jail who knew more than  
25 Gary Ola?

1 A. Yes, ma'am. He was the only one certified to train on  
2 the Taser.

3 Q. And you yourself were trained by Gary Ola?

4 A. Yes, ma'am.

5 Q. And you require your employees to follow the training  
6 that Ola prescribes in the jail?

7 A. Yes, ma'am.

8 Q. And you spoke in each Taser training session as well?

9 A. Yes, ma'am.

10 Q. And you told the officers to use good judgment?

11 A. Yes, ma'am.

12 Q. And you always told the officers to use common sense?

13 A. Yes, ma'am.

14 Q. And other than those appearances in the Taser training  
15 classes, you haven't given officers any other advice about  
16 Tasers?

17 A. No, ma'am.

18 Q. And you've never told your officers not to follow Taser  
19 training or policies?

20 A. No, ma'am.

21 Q. And officers should only use a Taser when they're in  
22 immediate danger or someone else is in immediate danger?

23 A. Yes, ma'am.

24 Q. And officers should use the least amount of force, as  
25 you said earlier, to resolve threats?

1 A. Yes, ma'am.

2 Q. You've never told officers that they can tase someone  
3 for more than 15 seconds total per incident?

4 A. No, ma'am.

5 Q. And that's in three five-second bursts?

6 A. I've never told them anything about how long they can  
7 tase somebody.

8 Q. And you reviewed the videos in this case; is that right?

9 A. Yes, ma'am.

10 Q. And when the defendant was tasing Jordan Norris, you  
11 heard the defendant tell Jordan, "I'll keep doing it until I  
12 run out of batteries"?

13 A. Some kind of statement like that, yes, ma'am.

14 Q. And "You don't like it, do you?"

15 A. I don't remember hearing that part of it. I do remember  
16 the other statement.

17 Q. But you agree that officers shouldn't taunt people who  
18 are in Jordan's situation?

19 A. Yes, ma'am. That's not ideal.

20 Q. And do you agree that the defendant's actions at the  
21 8:00 p.m. tasing of Jordan were not consistent with the  
22 policy in the jail at the time?

23 A. I don't know exactly the time, but the totality of the  
24 situation was not -- not an appropriate situation, no, ma'am.

25 Q. Now, you testified previously before a grand jury in

1 this case; is that correct?

2 A. Yes, ma'am.

3 Q. And there was a court reporter there?

4 A. Yes, ma'am.

5 Q. And you were sworn to take an oath and be truthful in  
6 that proceeding?

7 A. Yes, ma'am.

8 Q. And do you have any reason to believe that your  
9 testimony was incorrect?

10 A. Not to my knowledge, no, ma'am.

11 Q. So in your testimony, when you were asked, "Were Officer  
12 Bryant's actions tasing Mr. Norris for this long consistent  
13 with the policy of the jail at the time" --

14 MR. STRIANSE: Your Honor, I object. There's been  
15 no --

16 THE COURT: All right. Why don't you all  
17 approach. Why don't you bring that with you.

18 (Bench conference outside the hearing of the  
19 jury.)

20 THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.

21 MR. STRIANSE: My objection is -- I'm sorry. Your  
22 Honor, my objection is that there's been no proper foundation  
23 laid with the predicate question that he's somehow made some  
24 inconsistent statement that now the government seeks to  
25 impeach him with his prior grand jury testimony. I object

1 this reading of the grand jury testimony.

2 THE COURT: All right. And I had the same point.  
3 I wasn't sure what you were trying to impeach him on.

4 MS. MYERS: The issue of the policy. He  
5 specifically said that he didn't know what the specific  
6 policy was at the time. And he said right there that he did  
7 not violate --

8 THE COURT: Part of --

9 MS. MYERS: That's correct.

10 THE COURT: Okay.

11 Not quite what you said. He knows about the  
12 policy. He's not -- he's saying here -- your question was,  
13 "Was Bryant's action tasing. . . for this long consistent  
14 with the policy?"

15 "No, sir, they weren't."

16 MS. MYERS: At the time. I'm referring to the  
17 policy at the time.

18 THE COURT: Right. And he said "No, sir."

19 MS. MYERS: Right.

20 THE COURT: All right.

21 MS. MYERS: He didn't say that here yet, at the  
22 time.

23 THE COURT: I mean, your question was (as read):  
24 And do you agree that the defendant's actions  
25 at the 8:00 p.m. tasing of Jordan were not

1           consistent with the policy in the jail at that  
2           time?

3           Answer: I don't know exactly the time. But  
4           the totality of the situation was not -- not an  
5           appropriate situation, no, ma'am.

6           MS. MYERS: I'm asking specifically about the  
7           policy, though. He's saying the totality of the  
8           circumstances. I want -- I want him to say -- because he  
9           said previously in his testimony that it was not consistent  
10          with the policy at the time. Not just the totality of the  
11          circumstances.

12          This is the point that they are specifically  
13          trying to make, was that the policy was in some way  
14          ambiguous. And he says specifically that this was not in  
15          line with the policy at the time.

16          MR. STRIANSE: I don't know what she's impeaching  
17          him on.

18          THE COURT: I'm not sure I do either. I mean, you  
19          could -- I'm not -- I'm not an Assistant U.S. Attorney.

20          MS. MYERS: I can rephrase the question. Would  
21          you like me to do that?

22          THE COURT: I'm not sure -- yeah -- I think -- I  
23          think all you need to ask him is, sir -- and this is not my  
24          role. But you should -- when I say "at the time," I mean on  
25          November the 5th, 2016.

1 MS. MYERS: I can do that. I can just ask the  
2 grand jury question again and see if he gives the same  
3 answer.

4 MR. STRIANSE: That was my objection.

5 THE COURT: Yeah.

6 MR. STRIANSE: Just reading his grand jury  
7 testimony.

8 THE COURT: It's not inconsistent. The  
9 substantive answer today is not inconsistent with the  
10 substance of the answer at the grand jury.

11 MS. MYERS: The way that I understood was he was  
12 saying the totality of the circumstances, not specifically.

13 THE COURT: You said at the time. I think you  
14 just need to ask him, "When I ask you at the time, I'm  
15 referring to November 6th, 2016."

16 MS. MYERS: I can do that.

17 THE COURT: I think that will clarify that.

18 MS. MYERS: I appreciate that. Thank you.

19 (Jury present.)

20 BY MS. MYERS:

21 Q. Now, Mr. Hannah, my previous question was you agree the  
22 Defendant's actions at the 8:00 p.m. tasing of Jordan Norris  
23 on November 5th of 2016 were not consistent with your jail  
24 policy that you had on November 5th of 2016?

25 A. I misunderstood you. No, ma'am, I didn't find any



1 policy that he violated.

2 Q. And there's nothing in his report about the lengthy  
3 tases at 8:00 p.m., is there?

4 A. Not that I seen, no, ma'am.

5 THE COURT: Okay. You've got to speak up.

6 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Not that I seen.

7 BY MS. MYERS:

8 Q. And leaving out the longest tase in the jail's history  
9 was not consistent with your policy?

10 A. I mean, it should be a truthful account of what happened  
11 in any report you write.

12 Q. So if, say, 50 seconds' worth of tasing was left out of  
13 a report, that would not be consistent with policy?

14 A. In that case, no, ma'am, it wouldn't.

15 Q. And in fact, you use and rely on incident reports to go  
16 back and look at video to review the incidents?

17 A. Yes, ma'am, we do.

18 Q. And each incident should have its own report per policy?

19 A. For everybody that's involved, yes, ma'am.

20 Q. For everyone that's involved?

21 A. Yes, ma'am.

22 Q. And the defendant didn't do a report for the 8:00 p.m.  
23 tasings?

24 A. I would have to look back through, but I don't think so.

25 Q. You don't recall --

1 A. I don't recall who all did reports. There's been so  
2 much paperwork looked through and everything.

3 Q. You provided all of the reports in this case; is that  
4 correct, when you were subpoenaed?

5 A. I did. Yes, ma'am.

6 Q. And do you remember providing all of the reports that  
7 the defendant had written that night?

8 A. We provided everything that had anything to do with this  
9 situation at all.

10 Q. And if there was not a report that discussed tasings at  
11 8:00 p.m., that would be inconsistent, as you know that there  
12 were tasings at 8:00 p.m. done by the defendant?

13 A. Yes, ma'am.

14 Q. And so the reports clue you in to look for specific  
15 times on the video; is that right?

16 A. Yes, ma'am.

17 Q. And in fact, if Jordan's family hadn't called to  
18 question you about Jordan's treatment in the jail a few days  
19 later, you would not have known about the 8:00 p.m. tasings  
20 based on the reports that you had?

21 A. The phone call from the family is definitely what led us  
22 down the path of looking closer into it.

23 Q. So not the reports?

24 A. Not solely the reports, no, ma'am.

25 Q. And when you started pulling videos as a result of that

1 phone call -- is that correct?

2 A. Yes, ma'am.

3 Q. -- you only reviewed the jail footage?

4 A. That's only -- that's all I had access to myself.

5 Q. Because you didn't have access to the Taser camera  
6 video?

7 A. Yes, ma'am.

8 Q. And in the jail surveillance video you reviewed, it  
9 didn't have sound?

10 A. It didn't, no, ma'am.

11 Q. So you couldn't hear any of the tases?

12 A. No, ma'am.

13 Q. You couldn't hear what the defendant said to Jordan when  
14 he tased him?

15 A. No, ma'am.

16 Q. And you couldn't tell how long those tases were?

17 A. There was no way of knowing if he had activated it or if  
18 he was just holding it up against him without it going.

19 Q. And you didn't have the Taser logs at that time either?

20 A. No, ma'am.

21 Q. But even without all of this information, you were still  
22 concerned?

23 A. I mean, it's -- it was something that needed to be  
24 forwarded up and let somebody higher than me look at.

25 Q. And the most times prior to this incident that someone

1 had been tased was two or three times?

2 A. There was only a few times before that anybody had been  
3 tased.

4 Q. And you passed this information along to your  
5 supervisors?

6 A. Yes, ma'am.

7 Q. But this was incomplete information at the time?

8 A. It was incomplete, unfortunately.

9 Q. And so no action was taken at that time?

10 A. No, ma'am.

11 Q. And then you met with the Defendant to ask him about his  
12 justification for the tases?

13 A. I did.

14 Q. And this was several days after the tases?

15 A. It was.

16 Q. And the defendant told you that he tased Jordan because  
17 he had an arm out of the restraint; is that right?

18 A. Yes, ma'am.

19 Q. And he wanted to get his arm back in?

20 A. He was trying to regain control of his arm, yes, ma'am.

21 Q. But he didn't say that the defendant was trying to harm  
22 any of the officers?

23 A. He didn't specifically, not that I remember.

24 Q. He didn't say that Jordan could get away?

25 A. I think that was implied, that he was going to be able

1 to get out of the chair with one arm loose.

2 Q. That he was not going to be able to get out of the  
3 chair?

4 A. That he was going to be able to.

5 Q. Oh, that that was implied?

6 A. I think so.

7 Q. So that's what he was implying to you?

8 A. Yeah, that's the way I understood it. I mean, it is  
9 possible to get out of that chair with one arm loose, the  
10 chair we had at the time.

11 Q. At the time was someone holding his head?

12 A. Well, not with somebody holding on to him, no, ma'am.

13 Q. And an officer at his arm, holding his arm as well?

14 A. I meant, if he was just in the chair by hisself, he  
15 could have possibly got out of it without anybody holding --

16 Q. I see. So not in --

17 THE COURT: Hold on. Let him finish.

18 Are you finished?

19 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

20 BY MS. MYERS:

21 Q. So you're speaking just broadly, not in relation to this  
22 incident?

23 A. Not in relation to this incident, no, ma'am.

24 Q. And he didn't say why he tased Jordan for 50 seconds  
25 during that incident?

1 A. He -- any -- any tasing, he told me he was trying to get  
2 compliance from the inmate.

3 Q. But he had no justification for the 50 seconds?

4 A. Not at that time, no, ma'am.

5 Q. Not at that time?

6 A. Or I mean, not -- we didn't even talk about the 50  
7 seconds at that time.

8 Q. In fact, the defendant expressed no concern to you about  
9 the tasings?

10 A. He -- the way he -- when he we talked, I got the feeling  
11 that he thought he did the reasonable thing to try to control  
12 the situation.

13 Q. But no regret?

14 A. No, ma'am.

15 Q. And he wasn't worried that he might have injured Jordan?

16 A. No, ma'am. He went to the hospital shortly after that.

17 Q. And about nine months later, there was a lawsuit filed,  
18 as you mentioned?

19 A. Yes, ma'am.

20 Q. And then the video of the jail surveillance was combined  
21 with that Taser audio and it was aired over the media?

22 A. Yes, ma'am.

23 Q. And that was the first time that you saw and you heard  
24 the full picture of the defendant's tasing of Jordan that  
25 night?

1 A. And seen all the video, yes, ma'am.

2 Q. And only then did Gary Ola pull those Taser logs?

3 A. I think that's when he pulled them, yes, ma'am.

4 Q. And then you were able to see just how long the  
5 defendant's tases were?

6 A. Yes, ma'am. How long it was activated.

7 Q. I'm sorry?

8 A. How long the Taser was activated from the logs.

9 Q. Right. And you talked to the chief deputy and the  
10 sheriff about the incident again?

11 A. Yes, ma'am.

12 Q. And that's when you decided to have the TBI come and  
13 investigate?

14 A. Yes, ma'am. We decided it would be better to have  
15 somebody from the outside agency investigate it.

16 Q. And in your career at the sheriff's office, you've never  
17 had to pull an outside agency in to investigate before?

18 A. Occasionally we use them -- I mean, they come down if  
19 there's any kind of death in the jail. Or occasionally we'll  
20 use them if there's drugs or something like that.

21 Q. If you had testified earlier that you had never done it  
22 before that incident, would that have been incorrect?

23 A. Maybe at that time when I -- when I testified to that,  
24 we hadn't. But since then we've used the TBI on a couple  
25 things.

1 Q. Since November 5th of 2016?

2 A. Yes, ma'am.

3 Q. So this was a significant event for you?

4 A. Yes, ma'am, it was.

5 Q. And you were concerned?

6 A. Yes, ma'am, I was concerned that. . .

7 Q. And the sheriff was concerned?

8 A. Yes, ma'am.

9 Q. And after -- oh, and if you reviewed all of the  
10 materials that you have access to now at the time that you  
11 were first made aware of the defendant's tasings, you would  
12 have determined that your policy had been violated?

13 A. Had I seen everything at once, yes, ma'am, it probably  
14 would have violated at least one of our policies.

15 Q. Because the defendant did violate your existing policy  
16 at the time?

17 A. Yes, ma'am, looking back, he did.

18 Q. And after all of this came to light as a result of the  
19 lawsuit and the media coverage, your office decided to  
20 clarify the policy on Taser usage later?

21 A. Yes, ma'am. We changed our policy to reflect -- to give  
22 better instruction on how to use it.

23 Q. So you clarified it?

24 A. Yes, ma'am.

25 Q. And that was to ensure that tasings like this never



1 happened again?

2 A. Absolutely.

3 MS. MYERS: I have no further questions. Thank  
4 you.

5 THE COURT: All right. Redirect.

6 MR. STRIANSE: Just a couple questions.

7

8 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

9 BY MR. STRIANSE:

10 Q. Lt. Hannah, I want to make sure I understand your  
11 testimony this morning.

12 Early in the cross-examination by the government,  
13 I thought you told the jury that you found no policy that  
14 Mark Bryant violated on November 5, 2016?

15 A. He didn't violate any of the Taser policies that I could  
16 see.

17 Q. Okay. Do you remember in the days after this incident  
18 calling Mark Bryant up to the administration office on the  
19 second floor?

20 A. I mean, I talked to him on a regular basis, the  
21 employees, all the employees on a regular basis.

22 Q. Do you remember reviewing the Taser video with him?

23 A. The Taser video?

24 Q. Not the Taser video, the video of the incident?

25 A. In the booking room?

1 Q. In --

2 A. It's probably -- I don't remember specifically, but that  
3 sounds like something we would do.

4 Q. And do you remember discussing specifically the 20-  
5 second tase?

6 A. I do not remember. I mean, it could have happened, but  
7 I don't remember it.

8 Q. Do you remember that there was Officer Isherwood,  
9 Officer Whitt, and you reviewing this with Mr. Bryant?

10 A. That could be very possible. I don't remember -- I  
11 don't remember who all was there, but that was my  
12 administrative team at the time.

13 Q. And your purpose was to get his version of events?

14 MS. MYERS: Objection. Leading.

15 BY MR. STRIANSE:

16 Q. What was your purpose of calling him upstairs?

17 THE COURT: All right.

18 THE WITNESS: It would have been to get his  
19 version of the events and to see his justification for  
20 whatever he may have done.

21 BY MR. STRIANSE:

22 Q. And you were satisfied with his justification?

23 A. I had no reason not to believe anything he told me.

24 Q. And there was no administrative action taken against  
25 him?

1 A. No, sir.

2 THE COURT: Anything else? Mr. Strianse?

3 MR. STRIANSE: Oh, nothing further.

4 THE COURT: All right. You can step down.

5 (Witness excused.)

6 THE COURT: All right. Call your next witness.

7 MR. STRIANSE: Call Rebecca Burney.

8 THE COURT: Okay. If you'll stop there, we'll  
9 swear you in.

10 COURT DEPUTY: Please raise your right hand.

11

12 REBECCA LYNN BURNEY,

13 called as a witness by Defendant, was duly sworn and  
14 testified as follows:

15

16 COURT DEPUTY: Please be seated.

17 Please pull the microphone close and state your  
18 full name and spell your last name.

19 THE WITNESS: Rebecca Lynn Burney, B-u-r-n-e-y.

20

21 DIRECT EXAMINATION

22 BY MR. STRIANSE:

23 Q. Good morning, Ms. Burney.

24 A. Good morning.

25 Q. And where do you live, ma'am?

1 A. Clarksville, Tennessee.

2 Q. And how are you currently employed?

3 A. I work for G4S.

4 Q. And what is G4S?

5 A. It's a security, private security.

6 Q. Was there a point in time in your career where you were  
7 employed by the Cheatham County sheriff's office?

8 A. Yes, there was.

9 Q. And did you work in the jail in Cheatham County?

10 A. Yes, I did.

11 Q. And tell the jury when you worked in the jail in  
12 Cheatham County.

13 A. August 2015 to 2017, April.

14 Q. And do you know Mark Bryant?

15 A. Yes, I do.

16 Q. And how did you come to know Mark?

17 A. When I first met Mark, we worked in corrections together  
18 at Charles Bass. And then, when I transferred from the  
19 prison to Cheatham County, he was working there at the time.

20 Q. And when you say Charles Bass, you're talking about the  
21 now closed Charles Bass Correctional Complex?

22 A. Yes, sir.

23 Q. A Tennessee Department of Corrections facility?

24 A. Yes, sir.

25 Q. And when you came to work in Cheatham County, how were

1 you employed initially?

2 A. As just a regular deputy for the jail.

3 Q. You were a correctional officer?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. I want to direct your attention to November the 5th of  
6 2016.

7 What shift were you working in the jail that day?

8 A. Third shift, FT0, field training officer.

9 Q. And we've heard a little bit about that. What are the  
10 duties of a field training officer?

11 A. You teach the new officers the job, how to do head  
12 count, how to book inmates, the booking process. It's been a  
13 while. Just how to run the shift daily. Just what goes on  
14 in a correctional setting.

15 Q. And since you were a third-shift employee, what time did  
16 you arrive that night?

17 A. 10:00 at night.

18 Q. And when you arrived on 10:00 -- at 10:00 on November  
19 the 5th, did you encounter an inmate by the name of the  
20 Jordan Norris?

21 A. Yes, I did.

22 Q. And explain to the jury what you observed when you came  
23 to the jail that night.

24 A. When I walked through the booking doors, he was in a  
25 restraint chair facing the wall. He was being very irate,

1 making statements that we were going to blow him up, we were  
2 going to shoot him, we were going to kill him, and he was  
3 just all over the place.

4 Q. And how long have you worked in corrections?

5 A. I worked in corrections for over eight years.

6 Q. When you saw Mr. Norris that night, what sort of a  
7 judgment did you form about what sort of distress he was in?

8 A. Well, my first impression was, well, let me go check his  
9 booking card, see how old he is. Maybe he has some PTSD from  
10 military, 'cause I know it's a military --

11 THE COURT: Okay. You've got to talk a little  
12 slower for the court reporter. Just take your time.

13 THE WITNESS: Sorry.

14 THE COURT: Don't rush.

15 THE WITNESS: I talk fast.

16 So I went and checked his booking card, and he --  
17 he was 18. So I was like, there is no way it could be any  
18 PTSD from, like, trauma from the military.

19 And so I went and I talked to him and I tried to  
20 get him to calm down. And as I was trying to get him to calm  
21 down, we had other inmates getting him to go even more.  
22 "Don't let her get you calm. Keep going."

23 I got him to calm down. He answered a couple  
24 questions for me. He said -- I asked him, "Are you on any  
25 drugs? You know, have you done anything?"

1           He told me he drunk liquid acid. First time I  
2 ever heard of it. I let the other officers know that's what  
3 he said, and we just took it from there.

4 BY MR. STRIANSE:

5 Q. Now, were you trying to calm him down?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. Were you trying to calm him down that evening?

8 A. Oh, yes. Trying to build like a trust -- you know, a  
9 trust between me and him. Because he was just -- his eyes  
10 were, like, wide open. He was all over the place. Wouldn't  
11 sit -- wouldn't stay still. Like, he was just constantly  
12 trying to get out the chair, trying to hurt himself.

13 Q. So you told us that you arrived for third shift, what, a  
14 little bit before 10:00?

15 A. Yes, probably around 9:45, 9:50.

16 Q. And were you briefed on the events that had occurred  
17 earlier in the evening?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. And what were you told had happened with Mr. Norris?

20 A. That he was in the booking cell --

21           MS. MYERS: I'm going to object unless we get a  
22 foundation for the hearsay there.

23           THE COURT: Do you want to rephrase?

24 BY MR. STRIANSE:

25 Q. Just trying to -- to establish that she was aware of

1 what had happened earlier in the day.

2 THE COURT: And all of that occurred -- were you  
3 briefed in the normal course of your duties?

4 THE WITNESS: Yes. Every shift, you get a  
5 briefing before you go in.

6 BY MR. STRIANSE:

7 Q. And as a result of that briefing, were you discussing a  
8 plan with the other correctional officers to take Mr. Norris  
9 to the hospital?

10 A. Yes, we did.

11 Q. And tell the jury, what was the plan that you all were  
12 going to try to implement that night?

13 A. Our plan was we were going to pull the restraint chair  
14 over to the bar on the countertop.

15 Q. And where is that bar?

16 A. It's in the booking office.

17 And we were going to undo one hand at a time and  
18 book it to the -- handcuff him to the bar so we could get him  
19 to stand up out of the chair.

20 THE COURT: Again, slower.

21 THE WITNESS: Sorry. So used to talking fast.

22 So when we were trying to attempt to do that, he  
23 was not being cooperative. He was yelling, screaming, being  
24 violent. He -- at one time he had had my hand and almost  
25 broke it. I had to have help getting it loose.



1                   So that did not work at all. So we just ended up  
2 sticking -- leaving him in the chair and wheeling him out  
3 that way.

4 BY MR. STRIANSE:

5 Q.   What was the idea behind the plan of needing to get him  
6 out of the chair?

7 A.   So we could get him to the car to get him to the  
8 hospital.

9 Q.   And typically that would be done how, if you were  
10 successful in getting him out of the chair?

11 A.   Once we would have got him out, we would have handcuffed  
12 him, shackled him, and belly chained him, and walked him  
13 right out to the car.

14 Q.   During that interval when you were trying to get him out  
15 of the chair, was there any tasing that was done of  
16 Mr. Norris?

17 A.   Yes, there was.

18 Q.   And tell the jury what you observed about the tasing.

19 A.   He was tased, I know, one time when he had my hand and I  
20 couldn't get it free. And it was only in the leg at the  
21 time.

22 Q.   Did you see any other tasing incidents?

23 A.   Just in the leg.

24 Q.   And who was doing the tasing?

25 A.   Mark Bryant.

1 Q. And given your understanding of the tasing policy that  
2 was in place at that time, did you feel like that was an  
3 appropriate use of the Taser?

4 A. Yes, I do.

5 MR. STRIANSE: Your Honor, may I have one moment?

6 THE COURT: Sure.

7 MR. STRIANSE: Those are my questions. Thank you.

8 THE COURT: All right. Cross.

9

10 CROSS-EXAMINATION

11 BY MS. MYERS:

12 Q. Good morning, Ms. Burney.

13 A. Good morning.

14 Q. So on November 5th of 2016, you had only been at the  
15 jail for about seven months; is that right?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. And you're friends with the defendant?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. Close friends?

20 A. We're just friends.

21 Q. You helped him move, right?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. And until that night, you hadn't met Jordan until you  
24 arrived at your shift, right, at 10:00 p.m.?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. And you weren't there at 8:00 p.m.?

2 A. No.

3 Q. And when you arrived around 10:00 p.m., Jordan was  
4 already in the restraint chair?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. And at that time you helped prepare him for transport?

7 A. Yes, ma'am.

8 Q. And that was for transport to the hospital?

9 A. Yes, ma'am.

10 Q. And you were able to talk to him?

11 A. Yes, ma'am.

12 Q. You were able to calm him?

13 A. To some degree, yes.

14 Q. And when you did that, you were right in front of him?

15 A. Yes, ma'am.

16 Q. And you were talking to him face to face?

17 A. Yes, ma'am.

18 Q. And you were close to him when you spoke to him?

19 A. Yes, ma'am.

20 Q. And you didn't feel threatened when you spoke to him?

21 A. Not at the time, no.

22 Q. And you had had Taser training at that time; is that  
23 right?

24 A. Yes, ma'am.

25 Q. And you learned that, in order to tase someone, the

1 person has to be actively posing a threat?

2 A. Yes, ma'am.

3 Q. And at one point, when Jordan was not cuffed, you said  
4 that Jordan had your hand?

5 A. Yes, ma'am.

6 Q. And that he was tased while he was in the process of  
7 being restrained?

8 A. While we were trying to unrestrain him to take him to  
9 the hospital, yes.

10 Q. Right. For transport?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. And it was the defendant who tased him?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. And the defendant was the only one carrying the Taser at  
15 the time?

16 A. Yes, ma'am.

17 Q. And then Jordan was successfully restrained after that?

18 A. Yes, ma'am.

19 Q. And he was ready to be transported?

20 A. Yes, ma'am.

21 Q. I mean, physically ready to be transported.

22 And he had a belly chain on?

23 A. No, ma'am. Not that I can recall.

24 Q. You don't remember a belly chain?

25 A. Huh-uh. No, ma'am.

1 Q. And handcuffs attached to a belly chain?

2 A. He was still handcuffed to the chair when we wheeled the  
3 chair out to the car.

4 Q. And what about shackles around his feet? You do  
5 remember that?

6 A. We did get the shackles around his feet, yes, ma'am.

7 Q. And he was still secured in the chair?

8 A. Yes, ma'am.

9 Q. Now, if other people talked about there being a belly  
10 chain, would you disagree?

11 A. I can't recall.

12 Q. But he was cuffed at the time?

13 A. Yes, ma'am.

14 Q. And you didn't feel like you were in danger at that  
15 time?

16 A. No, ma'am.

17 Q. And that's when the defendant tased him again?

18 A. When he had my hand, crushing it, that's when I felt  
19 threatened, and that's when I could recall Mark tasing him in  
20 the leg, yes, ma'am.

21 Q. Well, I'm not referring to that time. I'm talking about  
22 this later time when he's ready for transport and he's about  
23 to be wheeled out.

24 Do you remember watching the video in this?

25 A. I can't recall. It's been a long time.

1 Q. All right. Could we please show the video, Exhibit 15.

2 THE COURT: Do you all want to approach.

3 (Bench conference outside the hearing of the  
4 jury.)

5 THE COURT: So essentially you're going to try to  
6 refresh her recollection.

7 MS. MYERS: That's correct.

8 THE COURT: It's already in evidence.

9 MR. STRIANSE: Yes, sir.

10 THE COURT: Okay. So the other reason, you know,  
11 we've got -- the chief justice is coming. And apparently he  
12 is delayed. So we -- all the judges need to be there by  
13 12:15. So I told you 10:30.

14 Do you have enough witnesses to keep going?

15 MR. STRIANSE: Probably. I could move Mr. Bryant  
16 up.

17 THE COURT: Oh. Okay. All right. And we can --  
18 I guess y'all might want to, you know, take a quick break and  
19 then -- I just wanted to alert y'all. I'm going to tell the  
20 jury, too.

21 MR. STRIANSE: Okay.

22 THE COURT: Okay. And then -- all right. We're  
23 supposed to be there at 12:15. And he's going to -- Roberts  
24 is going to talk from 1:45 to 2:30.

25 MS. MYERS: That's very precise.

1           THE COURT: And then at 2:30 the district judges  
2 have got to meet with him.

3           So if we've got -- we'll see him from 2:30 until  
4 3:00. It's at Belmont. I'll be back between 3:00 and 3:30.

5           What do you want to do?

6           MR. STRIANSE: It's ambitious to get back at 3:30.

7           THE COURT: Well, I think he's pretty limited. I  
8 think we're only going to see him from maybe 2:30 to -- from  
9 2:30 to 2:45. I don't think we're going to engage in long  
10 talk. So I think I'll be -- I think I can be on the road  
11 back from Belmont at 3:00 and probably back here no later  
12 than 3:30.

13           But then they're going to have to wait from --  
14 they're going to have to wait from 11:45 --

15           MR. STRIANSE: You're going to leave at 11:45?

16           THE COURT: Yeah, I need to leave at 11:45 so we  
17 can get over there. I don't know. They told us -- 11:45.  
18 Yeah. Maybe -- I might be able to push it to noon, depends  
19 on if. . .

20           This is a mess. So I guess it's your case. Tell  
21 me what you want to do.

22           MR. STRIANSE: How do you all feel about them  
23 sitting around these hours?

24           MR. SONGER: Yeah, that's my concern. That's a  
25 long time.

1 THE COURT: There's nothing for them to do.

2 MR. SONGER: Right.

3 MS. MYERS: Should we get as far as we can get?

4 MR. SONGER: That would be my instinct. Pick it  
5 up in the morning.

6 MR. STRIANSE: Go until 11:45.

7 THE COURT: I'll see if we can do it at noon. I'm  
8 thinking we probably need to leave at 11:45 and then just  
9 send them home for the day, and then tomorrow we'll start  
10 back at 8:30.

11 And I'll tell them it's all my fault. It's all  
12 the chief justice. Okay.

13 So you want to -- how much longer do you have with  
14 her?

15 MS. MYERS: Not long at all.

16 THE COURT: Okay. Do you want to take a break  
17 after that?

18 MR. STRIANSE: Sure.

19 THE COURT: All right. Thanks.

20 (Jury present.)

21 BY MS. MYERS:

22 Q. Do we have Exhibit 15 pulled up? Thank you.

23 Ms. Burney, do you recognize this scene?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. Have you, in fact, seen this surveillance footage



1 before?

2 A. Yes, ma'am.

3 Q. Okay. Now, at this point, we're just going to show you  
4 the clip and you can let us know if this does, in fact,  
5 refresh your recollection of that evening.

6 (Video played.)

7 BY MS. MYERS:

8 Q. All right. Do you remember that at this time?

9 A. We were trying to get his leg -- shackles on, ma'am.

10 Q. So he didn't have your hand at that time?

11 A. No. That was another incident where he was kicking  
12 towards my face, because I was down there with the leg  
13 shackles, trying to get them on.

14 Q. With your face right --

15 A. Well, down there where his legs are, with his knees, I  
16 was bent over, trying to fight with leg shackles.

17 Q. So something you're saying is not visible in the video?

18 A. Yes, ma'am.

19 Q. But you said you didn't feel like you were in danger at  
20 that time.

21 A. At the time you were telling me that, I didn't know what  
22 scene you were talking about. And now that I recall, I was.

23 Q. Okay. All right.

24 So at this time, I would like to play Exhibit 13.

25 (Video played.)

1 BY MS. MYERS:

2 Q. Does that refresh your recollection of the incident?

3 A. I mean, I only see ground and feet, so I'm not sure what  
4 I'm looking at.

5 Q. In relation to where your head was at the time, did you  
6 see any kicking? Did you see Jordan Norris kicking during  
7 that time?

8 A. No.

9 Q. And you testified previously before a Grand Jury in that  
10 case -- in this case; is that correct?

11 A. Yes, ma'am.

12 Q. And you testified truthfully?

13 A. Yes, ma'am.

14 Q. There was a court reporter taking down what you said?

15 A. Yes, ma'am.

16 Q. And you were asked (as read):

17 As it relates to you, again, in reference to  
18 this time, were you not in danger at that time?

19 And your answer was, "Yes, I was not in danger."

20 Do you remember that?

21 A. I can't recall.

22 Q. But if that is what is represented as your testimony,  
23 would that be correct?

24 A. If that's what I said, then yes.

25 Q. And you didn't feel like someone around you was about to

1 be harmed?

2 A. Like I said, from what I could recall from the video, he  
3 was being combative at the time.

4 Q. But you didn't feel -- that wasn't my question.

5 You didn't feel that someone around you was about  
6 to be harmed?

7 A. No.

8 MS. MYERS: Thank you.

9 THE COURT: Redirect?

10 MR. STRIANSE: No redirect. Thank you.

11 THE COURT: All right. You can step down.

12 All right. Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, I  
13 think on Day 1 I anticipated -- I shared with you that I  
14 anticipated that today was not going to be a normal day  
15 because I had to be away from the courthouse. And I'll share  
16 with you, the reason for all this is that the Chief Justice  
17 of our Supreme Court in Washington is visiting the Middle  
18 District of Tennessee.

19 So, in the scheme of things, I sort of need to be  
20 there. He was supposed to be here by 11:00; hence, we were  
21 going to stop at 10:30. Well, his plane did not take off  
22 when it was supposed to. So everything's gotten changed.

23 So, what I've asked the lawyers to do, we're going  
24 to go until at least 11:45. I'm going to see if we can go to  
25 noon before I have to leave. But at least 11:45. And then I

1 think the best thing is to release you for the day, because  
2 it will be -- it will be 3:30 before I can get back.

3 Now, everything's changed. They've got a luncheon  
4 then a conversation, then the district judges are going to be  
5 meeting with the chief justice. And while I think all that  
6 will go quickly, I could be wrong. And I just -- I really  
7 don't want you all to have to sit here until 3:30.

8 So whether we finish either at 11:45 or noon,  
9 we'll be done for the day. You'll be done for the day. And  
10 you're free to leave and enjoy the day. It seems like it's a  
11 nice day out there. And then we'll start back in the  
12 morning, though, at 8:30, if that works for everybody.

13 Okay? I apologize. This is really beyond my  
14 control. But I hope you at least know why the schedule keeps  
15 changing.

16 So we're going to take a quick break so the  
17 lawyers can get ready and we'll proceed. Thanks.

18 (Jury not present.)

19 THE COURT: All right. Be seated. Before we  
20 bring the jury in, I think we've played Exhibit 13 before it  
21 was in evidence.

22 Do you have any objection?

23 MR. STRIANSE: I'm sorry, Your Honor?

24 THE COURT: I think we played Exhibit 13 before it  
25 was in evidence. That last thing we -- do you have any

1 objection to Exhibit 13?

2 MR. STRIANSE: You -- I'm having a little trouble  
3 hearing the Court. Did you say it was in evidence or not in  
4 evidence?

5 THE COURT: It was not in evidence when it was  
6 played to the jury.

7 MR. STRIANSE: Then I would object to it.

8 MS. MYERS: And, Your Honor, we just played that  
9 to refresh her recollection.

10 THE COURT: Yeah, but we did it in front of the  
11 jury.

12 MS. MYERS: That's. . . Yes. And that's --  
13 that's correct. Perhaps a --

14 THE COURT: You've got to talk louder.

15 MS. MYERS: Perhaps an instruction. Here's the  
16 thing, though, Your Honor. That is just another angle of the  
17 same event.

18 THE COURT: But no one knew it. When I saw it, I  
19 actually thought it was at the van, going to the hospital.  
20 My law clerk thought it was in the jail. So we don't know  
21 where it was without that.

22 I think I can cure this through a limiting -- tell  
23 them -- so you're objecting to the introduction of 13?

24 MR. STRIANSE: I assumed it had been received as  
25 evidence.

1 THE COURT: Yeah. I --

2 MR. STRIANSE: And I don't know the time or the  
3 place of that clip.

4 MS. MYERS: It was in the context of her  
5 testimony. It was another angle of the same event. It was  
6 just the Taser itself for that same surveillance.

7 MR. STRIANSE: She never said it was the same  
8 event.

9 MS. MYERS: That's what her questioning was about.  
10 That event. That's the only thing --

11 THE COURT: Yeah, but the problem is it wasn't in  
12 evidence. It wasn't -- we didn't identify what this was a  
13 scene of.

14 I think you played it, and just does this -- "I'm  
15 going to play this to refresh your recollection." But the --  
16 the fundamental problem is it was not in evidence when it was  
17 shown to the jury.

18 MS. MYERS: It was also for impeachment, Your  
19 Honor, in that she had made a different statement.

20 THE COURT: You said, "I'm showing you this to  
21 refresh your recollection."

22 MS. MYERS: I did.

23 THE COURT: Yeah.

24 MS. MYERS: But to refresh it and also to impeach  
25 her, which it did.

1           THE COURT: But had it been a document, we show  
2 them the document, and then they turn it over or give it  
3 back. Now you testify from your recollection having been  
4 refreshed. We didn't do that.

5           I think I have to tell them to -- I hereby order  
6 them to -- I strike that testimony. I strike the viewing of  
7 the -- of the video, tell them to ignore it and all testimony  
8 regarding it. Because it was not in evidence.

9           MS. MYERS: I think that that's fine, Your Honor.

10          THE COURT: Oh. Okay.

11          Mr. Strianse, do you -- do you agree that's the  
12 proper --

13          MR. STRIANSE: That's fine, Your Honor.

14          THE COURT: -- proper --

15          MS. MYERS: Your Honor, as long as the last two  
16 questions regarding, "You didn't feel like you were in danger  
17 at that time?" Because that's in reference to the video  
18 surveillance which she had seen which was in evidence.

19          "And you didn't feel like someone around you was  
20 about to be harmed?"

21          THE COURT: Mr. Strianse?

22          MR. STRIANSE: I don't know what video she's  
23 talking about now. I'm going to address my remarks to the  
24 video that you brought to our attention.

25          THE COURT: Exhibit 13.

1           MR. STRIANSE: Exhibit 13. She never identified  
2 that video. She said, "All I see is feet and floor." I  
3 don't know what that is.

4           MS. MYERS: Yes, Your Honor. I think --

5           THE COURT: All right. Here's what she said. You  
6 said (as read):

7                     Does that refresh your recollection of the  
8 incident?

9                     Answer: I mean, I only see ground and feet.  
10 So I'm not sure what I'm looking at.

11           MS. MYERS: So I think that could be stricken  
12 regarding that point, but the last two questions were  
13 regarding whether or not she felt like she was in danger at  
14 the time that he was cuffed and shackled and prepared for  
15 transport.

16                     And she was also impeached with her previous Grand  
17 Jury testimony on those two points after that video was  
18 shown.

19           THE COURT: Then you asked (as read):

20                     In relation to where your head was at the time,  
21 did you see any kicking?

22                     Answer: I -- it -- I did see Jordan Norris  
23 kicking during that time.

24                     I'm sorry. Then your question was (as read):

25                     Did you see Jordan Norris kicking at that time?



1                   Answer: No.

2                   And then you go into the Grand Jury. So I think  
3 her -- her -- their viewing of Exhibit 13, they shall not --  
4 you shall not consider anything you saw in that video in your  
5 deliberations or the testimony related thereto of this  
6 witness, Ms. Burney.

7                   MS. MYERS: I think that that's fine, Your Honor.

8                   THE COURT: Mr. Strianse, does that cover it?

9                   MR. STRIANSE: Yes, Your Honor.

10                  THE COURT: All right. Bring them in.

11                  (Jury present.)

12                  THE COURT: All right. Be seated.

13                  Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, prior to our  
14 break you viewed Exhibit 13 and there was testimony related  
15 to Exhibit 13, that videotape, from the witness, Rebecca  
16 Burney. And I hereby instruct you that you're not to  
17 consider in your deliberations what you saw on the video or  
18 any testimony from Ms. Burney related to that video. I'm  
19 ordering that stricken from this record, and you shall not  
20 consider it in any fashion when you begin your deliberations.

21                  All right. Call your next witness.

22                  MR. STRIANSE: Call Hamilton Small.

23                  COURT OFFICER: Right up here.

24                  THE COURT: All right. Come forward. And if  
25 you'll stop there, we'll administer the oath.

1 COURT DEPUTY: Please raise your right hand.

2 DR. ARTHUR HAMILTON SMALL,  
3 called as a witness by Defendant, was duly sworn and  
4 testified as follows:

5

6 COURT DEPUTY: Please be seated.

7 COURT OFFICER: Right here, please. Right here.

8 COURT DEPUTY: Please pull the microphone close  
9 and state your full name.

10 THE WITNESS: Author Hamilton Small.

11 COURT DEPUTY: I'm sorry?

12 THE WITNESS: Author Hamilton Small.

13 COURT DEPUTY: Can you spell your first name?

14 A-r-t-h-u-r.

15 THE COURT: All right.

16

17 DIRECT EXAMINATION

18 BY MR. STRIANSE:

19 Q. Good morning, Dr. Small.

20 A. Good morning.

21 Q. How are you employed?

22 A. Oh, I'm doing fine.

23 Q. How are you employed? How are you employed?

24 A. Oh, I'm employed at Middle Tennessee Mental Health  
25 Institute here in Nashville, Tennessee.

1 Q. And in what capacity are you employed at Middle  
2 Tennessee Mental Health Institute?

3 A. Staff psychiatrist.

4 Q. And are you a medical doctor?

5 A. Yes, I am.

6 Q. And are you a psychiatrist?

7 A. Yes, I am.

8 Q. And how long have you been practicing as a medical  
9 doctor/psychiatrist?

10 A. I've been practicing since 2004.

11 Q. And how long have you been working at Middle Tennessee  
12 Mental Health Institute?

13 A. From 2004, I worked as the medical officer of the day,  
14 where we admit people overnight, and starting in 2013, I have  
15 been working there full time since then.

16 Q. And where is Middle Tennessee Mental Health Institute  
17 located?

18 A. It's located on 221 Stewarts Ferry Pike in Donelson,  
19 Tennessee.

20 Q. And what is Middle Tennessee Mental Health Institute?

21 A. It's a state-associated psychiatric hospital --  
22 hospital.

23 Q. Did you treat a patient named Jordan Norris in November  
24 of 2016?

25 A. Yes, I did.

1 Q. And what date was he admitted to the Middle Tennessee  
2 Mental Health Institute?

3 A. He was admitted on November the 11th, 2016, at 5:30 a.m.

4 Q. And were you his attending physician?

5 A. Yes, I was.

6 Q. And what brought Mr. Norris to the Middle Tennessee  
7 Mental Health Institute?

8 A. Mr. Norris was referred to our hospital from the jail  
9 after he was reportedly being --

10 MS. MYERS: Objection. Hearsay.

11 MR. STRIANSE: This is --

12 THE COURT: Why don't you rephrase your question  
13 and limit it.

14 BY MR. STRIANSE:

15 Q. He was brought there from the jail; is that right?

16 A. That's correct.

17 THE COURT: Okay.

18 BY MR. STRIANSE:

19 Q. And did you perform any sort of a medical evaluation or  
20 a psychiatric evaluation on Mr. Norris when he was in your  
21 care and custody?

22 A. I did on that -- on that day.

23 Q. Okay.

24 A. Later on --

25 Q. Forgive me.

1 A. What I was going to say is, initially --

2 THE COURT: Hold on. I'm going to let him ask the  
3 question and give you some limited leading --

4 MR. STRIANSE: All right.

5 THE COURT: -- if you'd like to control the  
6 witness.

7 Go ahead.

8 BY MR. STRIANSE:

9 Q. Dr. Small, you told us that Mr. Norris was admitted on  
10 November the 7th; is that right?

11 A. That's correct.

12 Q. On or about that date, in your role as a psychiatrist  
13 and his attending physician, did you perform any sort of a  
14 mental evaluation of Mr. Norris?

15 A. That is correct.

16 Q. And what were the findings that you came up with?

17 A. On that day Mr. Norris was actually -- he was delirious.  
18 He had some difficulty answering certain questions regarding  
19 orientation. And also he was very preoccupied about his  
20 possessions, where they were, and -- where they were.

21 Q. And I think you used the word delirium?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. And what is delirium?

24 A. Delirium is a state in which people have -- fluctuate in  
25 and out of consciousness, reality. At some times they are

1 lucid and other times they are not.

2 Q. And how was this delirium state manifesting itself with  
3 Mr. Norris?

4 A. He had difficulty at times answering questions regarding  
5 orientation, and at other times he was spot on about his  
6 possessions and stuff like -- possessions.

7 Q. Now, did you note that there were any sort of auditory  
8 or visual hallucinations?

9 A. I did. Also, the admitting physician wrote that he had  
10 auditory and visual hallucinations.

11 Q. And I think we probably know what that means, but tell  
12 the jury, what are auditory and visual hallucinations?

13 A. Auditory hallucinations are voices that people hear that  
14 are not real. At times they will hear people talking to  
15 them, and if they look around, there's nobody there.

16 Or they'll see things -- visual hallucinations  
17 occur when people see things that actually are not present in  
18 the environment.

19 Q. Based on your evaluation of Mr. Norris when he was in  
20 your care and custody, did you make any sort of a diagnosis?

21 A. At that time the diagnosis was major depressive disorder  
22 with psychotic features and cannabis use disorder.

23 Q. And let me make sure I'm hearing you.

24 A. Major depressive disorder, psychotic features, and  
25 cannabis use disorder.

1 Q. And what does the psychotic features piece of this mean?

2 A. The psychotic features was he was actually hearing  
3 things and seeing things. That's -- that's part of what we  
4 diagnose as psychotic features.

5 Q. Now, as a result of this diagnosis, was he prescribed  
6 any particular medicines?

7 A. He was initially prescribed Haldol, ativan, and  
8 Depakote.

9 Q. And let's talk about those.

10                   Upon presentation at Middle Tennessee Mental  
11 Health, was he given ativan?

12 A. He was given ativan because he was agitated at that  
13 time --

14 Q. And --

15 A. -- when he first presented.

16 Q. And would that be called ativan, and then the condition  
17 being stat?

18 A. Pardon me?

19 Q. Stat, s-t-a-t?

20 A. Yeah. Yeah.

21 Q. And what is ativan?

22 A. Ativan is a benzodiazapine that's used to help with  
23 anxiety or agitation.

24 Q. And once the diagnosis was made, what was the Haldol  
25 prescribed for?

1 A. Sometimes severe agitation, we'll give a combination of  
2 Haldol, ativan, and Benadryl to help calm down someone.

3 Q. And what is indicated for a physician to prescribe  
4 Haldol?

5 A. Most of the times, if there's aggression or -- most of  
6 the time, if there's aggression or destructive behavior, we  
7 will prescribe Haldol.

8 Q. What about the prescription drug known as Depakote?  
9 What is that for?

10 MS. MYERS: I'm going to object to relevance at  
11 this point.

12 THE COURT: Do you want to explain?

13 MS. MYERS: Right. We're going through all these  
14 medications that were on November 7th, which is two days  
15 after --

16 MR. STRIANSE: Is this an objection or a speech?

17 THE COURT: Okay.

18 MS. MYERS: He asked me for my foundation for my  
19 objection.

20 THE COURT: I just wanted to better understand it.

21 Overruled.

22 BY MR. STRIANSE:

23 Q. Was there a point in time that Mr. Norris was taken from  
24 Middle Tennessee Mental Health and sent to another hospital?

25 A. Yeah. Within 12 hours of being admitted to the



1 hospital, he was transferred from Middle Tennessee to Summit  
2 Hospital.

3 Q. And what was the purpose in sending him to Summit  
4 Hospital?

5 A. The reason he was sent to Summit Hospital is because he  
6 was -- not only was he delirious, we had done stat labs when  
7 he came into the hospital. And what we found is that his  
8 creatinine kinase and white blood cells and kidney function  
9 were elevated.

10 That being the case -- elevated creatinine kinase,  
11 we know that there's some muscle damage. So what we'll do  
12 also is to -- you try to rule out any kind of cardiac-related  
13 damage. So since our facility is merely a psychiatric  
14 hospital, we'll send them to the medical hospital to actually  
15 rule out any type of cardiac event.

16 Q. And then he was returned to Middle Tennessee Mental  
17 Health Institute?

18 A. Yes, he came back to us on November the 10th.

19 Q. Was there any sort of a drug screen done on him?

20 A. Before he came -- before he came to the hospital, he had  
21 been to -- I believe Cheatham -- let me be sure -- Centennial  
22 Ashland City, and at that time the urine drug screen showed  
23 presence of cannabis and benzodiazepines.

24 Q. And what would be an example of a benzodiazepine?

25 A. Ativan, Xanax, and Valium or Clonopin.

1 Q. In your diagnosis, did you use the descriptive term  
2 "labile"?

3 A. Labile is used to determine -- labile is used to define  
4 very quick changes in a person's behavior.

5 Q. So make sure that I hear you.

6 A. They don't have -- meaning they don't have one  
7 consistent behavior over a period of time. Most people, you  
8 know -- most people will be calm for a period of time. When  
9 someone is labile, it's like they'll be calm for a few  
10 minutes and then they'll probably erupt and then, you know,  
11 they might go to crying or they might go to laughing, then  
12 they probably come back to being calm. So it's not a  
13 consistent behavior over a period of time.

14 MR. STRIANSE: Thank you, Dr. Small.

15 THE COURT: All right. Cross.

16

17 CROSS-EXAMINATION

18 BY MS. MYERS:

19 Q. Good afternoon, Dr. Small.

20 A. Hi. Hello.

21 Q. So trauma can alter the mental state of someone,  
22 correct?

23 A. That's correct.

24 Q. And being shocked repeatedly with electricity could  
25 alter someone's mental state?

1 A. That's correct.

2 Q. And you mentioned that you were concerned about damage  
3 to Jordan's organs?

4 A. Given the labs that we found -- given the lab readings  
5 that we found, we wanted him to -- we were concerned about  
6 that, so we sent him to the medical hospital.

7 Q. And you mentioned cardiac issues as well?

8 A. Yeah. I mean, a lot -- with elevated CPK, you can see  
9 that in both skeletal muscle and cardiac muscle injury.

10 So, in order to make sure that we rule out any  
11 kind of cardiac injury, we sent the patient off so that he  
12 can get further testing to rule that out.

13 Q. And could being shocked repeatedly with electricity  
14 cause damage to the heart?

15 A. Theoretically, it could -- it could. But from -- that's  
16 part of the reason we sent him out, to make sure that there  
17 was no damage to that there.

18 Q. So you're saying electricity can damage the heart,  
19 repeated exposure?

20 A. That's correct.

21 Q. And you didn't examine Jordan Norris on November 5th of  
22 2016, did you?

23 A. No. He came to our hospital on November the 7th.

24 Q. So you don't know what happened to Jordan Norris in the  
25 Cheatham County jail on November 5th?

1 A. I do not know, but what I could say is that Mobile  
2 Crisis, who saw him, reported that he had been tasered.

3 MS. MYERS: Thank you very much.

4 THE COURT: All right. Redirect.

5

6 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

7 BY MR. STRIANSE:

8 Q. By sending Mr. Norris to Summit, you were able to rule  
9 out any of the physical concerns that you had; is that right?

10 A. That's correct.

11 THE COURT: All right. You can step down.

12 (Witness excused.)

13 THE COURT: All right. Call your next witness.

14 MR. STRIANSE: Call Mark Bryant.

15 COURT DEPUTY: Please raise your right hand.

16 MARK MITCHELL BRYANT,

17 called as a witness by Defendant, was duly sworn and  
18 testified as follows:

19 COURT DEPUTY: Please be seated.

20 Please pull the microphone close and please state  
21 your full name and spell your last name.

22 THE WITNESS: Mark Mitchell Bryant, B-r-y-a-n-t.

23 DIRECT EXAMINATION

24 BY MR. STRIANSE:

25 Q. Good morning, Mr. Bryant.

1 A. Good morning.

2 Q. How old are you, sir?

3 A. Forty.

4 Q. And where do you live?

5 A. Clarksville, Tennessee.

6 Q. And where did you grow up?

7 A. Clarksville, Tennessee.

8 Q. Tell the jury a little bit about how far did you go in

9 school?

10 A. I just graduated high school, 1996.

11 Q. And did you work as a correctional officer for the

12 Cheatham County Sheriff's Office?

13 A. Yes, sir.

14 Q. And when did you work for the Cheatham County Sheriff's

15 Office?

16 A. August of '15 until September of '17.

17 Q. And how were you employed when you worked at the

18 sheriff's office?

19 A. Correctional officer.

20 Q. And how long did you function as a correctional officer?

21 A. Approximately two years.

22 Q. And what were your duties as a correctional officer?

23 A. Provide safety and security for all the inmates and

24 staff and take care of all the needs of the inmates, laundry,

25 food, phone calls.

1 Q. Give the jury some idea of the -- the career trajectory  
2 you were on there at the Cheatham County Sheriff's Office.

3 A. I started August 22nd, 2015. Two months later I was  
4 appointed FTO of second shift. June 10th, I interviewed for  
5 corporal and got that position and was a shift supervisor.

6 Q. You said you were appointed to field training officer.  
7 What's the distinction of being appointed for that position?

8 A. It wasn't posted as a job. There was no interviews.

9 Q. And what are the duties of a field training officer?

10 A. Training the staff on day-to-day operations.

11 Q. Give the jury some idea of how much you were paid for  
12 your work at the jail in Cheatham County.

13 A. I think I started around 13, maybe 13.25, an hour.

14 Q. Did you like your job as a correctional officer?

15 A. I do.

16 Q. Why did you like your job as a correctional officer?

17 A. It was -- it was an opportunity to do something good, I  
18 thought.

19 Q. Did you enjoy the comradery of working with the other  
20 correctional officers?

21 A. Yes, sir.

22 Q. How would you characterize the relationship that you had  
23 with your coworkers there at the jail?

24 A. They were my family.

25 Q. And how did you feel that you interacted with the

1 inmates at the Cheatham County Sheriff's Office?

2 A. I always gave them respect and I -- they reciprocated.

3 They always respected me back.

4 Q. Tell the jury about -- a little bit about your style in  
5 dealing with inmates at the jail.

6 A. I was always calm, respectful, ask first, tell later if  
7 you have to, make, is the last -- last resort.

8 Q. In terms of discipline, tell the jury what your  
9 philosophy was when you worked as a correctional officer.  
10 And, of course, I mean discipline issues that arose with  
11 inmates.

12 A. I mean, we were required to serve disciplinaries to  
13 inmates if they broke the rules. Is that the question?

14 Q. No. Just how you interacted with inmates and if there  
15 was any corrective action that you had to take that might  
16 not -- might not rise to a -- a real disciplinary action,  
17 but. . .

18 A. You know, you just talk to somebody. You can come to an  
19 agreement. There was plenty of times where an inmate or an  
20 officer would have some issue, and you could always talk your  
21 way through it.

22 Q. Okay. Were you the kind of correctional officer that  
23 did not want to go hands on with an inmate?

24 A. Absolutely not.

25 Q. And what does hands on with an inmate mean?

1 A. Being physical.

2 Q. And what would you try to do in lieu of getting  
3 physical?

4 A. Use my words.

5 Q. You were -- you worked there for approximately two  
6 years; is that right?

7 A. Yes, sir.

8 Q. Over that period of time, were there any grievances or  
9 complaints filed against you by inmates?

10 A. Not to my knowledge.

11 Q. I'll ask you a little bit about the place that you  
12 worked. How old was the Cheatham County jail?

13 A. I believe it was an addition to the courthouse in 1978.  
14 I don't know anything more about it than that.

15 Q. Describe just very generally for the jury the physical  
16 plant there at the Cheatham County jail.

17 A. Layout? Is that what you're asking?

18 Q. Yes, sir.

19 A. Well, where I came into work is the same place -- the  
20 door that we walked in was the same place anybody off the  
21 street that was being booked would come in. It's a metal  
22 door on a brick building that comes into a vehicle sally port  
23 where there is a pedestrian door that walks into the booking  
24 office. And then the booking office would be to the right of  
25 that door, cells would be to the left, one, two, three, four,



1 hang a right, and then hang a left and you're going back to  
2 the general population dorms.

3 Q. How many dorms were there?

4 A. Six male dorms. Approximately 96 beds. I think 16  
5 times 6 is 96. Two -- three female dorms. Two of them had  
6 eight, one of them had four bunks.

7 Q. How many inmates was the jail designed to hold?

8 A. I want to say I did the math one time. It was 122,  
9 including the bunks available in booking.

10 Q. In the two years that you worked at the jail how many  
11 inmates would you all typically have?

12 A. Regularly 150-plus. I remember being very close to 200  
13 at one point.

14 Q. Were the conditions pretty crowded?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. You came to work -- I think it was in August of '15; is  
17 that right?

18 A. Yes, sir.

19 Q. Tell the jury what type of general training you received  
20 when you first started there.

21 A. We discussed -- when I say we, JJ Hannah and I during my  
22 interview -- which basically I got hired on the spot right  
23 then -- discussed what I knew from my experience at TDOC.

24 Q. Let's talk about your experience at TDOC. When were you  
25 employed by TDOC?

1 A. August of '13 is when I started the training. You have  
2 a four-week training at the Correctional Academy before you  
3 go on the job.

4 Q. And TDOC, we're talking, of course, about the Tennessee  
5 Department of Corrections?

6 A. Yes, sir.

7 Q. And how long did you work for the Tennessee Department  
8 of Corrections?

9 A. Approximately two years.

10 Q. And what facility were you assigned to?

11 A. Charles Bass Correctional Complex, and when it closed I  
12 was transferred to Riverbend Maximum Security.

13 Q. I assume your duties at the state prison were different  
14 than your duties at the sheriff's office; is that right?

15 A. Yeah, very different.

16 Q. But back to your initial taking the job in August of  
17 2015 at the Cheatham County jail, tell the jury what kind of  
18 general training you received.

19 A. There wasn't anything really other than on-the-job  
20 training. It -- it started off -- I was just working with  
21 another deputy. And then the second day I worked with an  
22 FTO. And by the third day I was on my own.

23 Q. Did you receive any use of force training?

24 A. The policy and procedure was given to me.

25 Q. And did you receive any training on what the force

1 continuum --

2 A. It was in the policy and procedure, yes, sir.

3 Q. What was your understanding of that force continuum?

4 A. Words, then pepper spray, which wasn't even an option  
5 but it was still in the policy, then empty soft hand control  
6 techniques, Taser, then hard empty hand control techniques.

7 Q. Give the jury an example of what an empty soft hand  
8 technique might be.

9 A. It would just be an escort, you know, somebody didn't  
10 want to go somewhere, just put a hand on their back and guide  
11 them, just give them a little direction.

12 Q. And then on the force continuum the next step up is the  
13 Taser, correct?

14 A. Correct.

15 Q. And above the Taser what's the next step?

16 A. Hard hand.

17 Q. Hard hand. And what would be an example of a hard hand  
18 technique?

19 A. Grappling, striking, you know taking somebody to the  
20 ground, that sort of thing.

21 Q. And then on the force continuum above a hard hand would  
22 be what?

23 A. I guess lethal, which was not an option in the jail.

24 Q. Did you receive any Taser training?

25 A. Yes, sir.

1 Q. And tell the jury about the Taser training you received.

2 A. It was on October 23rd, 2015. And I probably wouldn't  
3 remember that except for seeing my certificate, but it was  
4 about three maybe four hours long. We watched some video,  
5 went over the test a couple of times before we took the test,  
6 and then had demonstrations of how the Taser worked and used  
7 it on -- the FTC, field training coordinator, David Isherwood  
8 was in charge of actually deploying the Taser into the  
9 volunteers. I did not volunteer. I had plenty of experience  
10 with it with the TDOC, and I didn't need to feel it again. I  
11 was already very well aware of how it felt.

12 Q. You said that you did the course for how many hours that  
13 day?

14 A. Three or four, best of my recollection.

15 Q. Is this the course that was supposed to be the  
16 eight-hour course?

17 A. I guess so. I think so.

18 Q. Okay. And you have a recollection that it was three or  
19 four hours that you were working at the course?

20 A. (Witness moves head up and down.)

21 Q. Is that right?

22 A. Yes, sir. I'm sorry.

23 Q. Okay. And were you also sworn in that day?

24 A. Yes, sir.

25 Q. Okay. What did you understand the Taser policy to be

1 after your training and up until the time of this event,  
2 November 5, 2016?

3 A. You know, I don't really have any recollection of  
4 durations or application limits. In the policy there wasn't  
5 anything about it. The policy was readily available to me  
6 all the time. That class was, you know, for the couple hours  
7 it was, and didn't really have anything to take away from  
8 that course to study to refresh my memory as I continued my  
9 service.

10 Q. When you took the course, was there sort of a premium  
11 placed on taking the test and answering all those questions?

12 A. Yes, sir.

13 Q. And in those questions was there any question that dealt  
14 with the duration of a tase?

15 A. No, sir.

16 Q. Or the number of tases?

17 A. No, sir.

18 Q. How did you understand the policy generally to be  
19 implemented there?

20 A. Least amount of force necessary.

21 Q. What were you to do in your role as a correctional  
22 officer using that policy, least amount of force, if you had  
23 to break up a fight or deal with a disruptive inmate?

24 A. In my experience a loud command generally worked. I  
25 have a bit of a booming voice that kind of calls for respect,

1 and I got that a lot.

2 Q. Now, you took the course October 23rd of 2015; is that  
3 right?

4 A. Yes, sir.

5 Q. Were you supposed to be recertified for the course?

6 A. As I understood, yes, sir, annually.

7 Q. Which would have been on or about October 23 of '16; is  
8 that right?

9 A. Yes, sir.

10 Q. Had you been recertified?

11 A. No, sir.

12 Q. Did you receive any training regarding the use of the  
13 restraint chair?

14 A. Yes, sir.

15 Q. And tell the jury what your understanding was of the  
16 training that you received regarding that.

17 A. The -- the training was, you know, about an hour long, I  
18 think, maybe two, at most. Because we did some  
19 demonstration. And they just showed us how to strap people  
20 into it. And there was one specific instance I remember --  
21 again Sgt. Isherwood was the facility training coordinator --  
22 showed a pressure point lock -- or grip under the jaw to  
23 control the head. Other than that it was just showing us how  
24 to use the straps.

25 Q. And what condition was the restraint chair at the

1 Cheatham County jail in in November of 2015?

2 A. It was old.

3 Q. 2016. Excuse me.

4 A. It was old. We hadn't ever had any issues per se until  
5 the night with Mr. Norris.

6 Q. And let's talk about Mr. Norris. When did you first  
7 come in contact with inmate Jordan Norris?

8 A. I want to say I met him on the 3rd of November briefly,  
9 just in passing. I think he was getting fingerprinted and --  
10 I wasn't working in booking so I was just passing by.

11 Q. Now, as of November 5, 2016, what shift were you  
12 working?

13 A. Second shift.

14 Q. And what was your title at the time?

15 A. I was Corporal in November of '16.

16 Q. Were you also the shift supervisor?

17 A. I was assistant shift supervisor. I was shift  
18 supervisor that day. It was the Sergeant's regular day off.

19 Q. As an assistant shift supervisor what were your duties?

20 A. Run the shift, make sure nobody died and everybody was  
21 accounted for.

22 Q. And that was basically your role that day, which was  
23 Saturday, November the 5th, 2016?

24 A. That was my role every day.

25 Q. And how many correctional officers were on duty for

1 second shift?

2 A. Six.

3 Q. And I know we've heard this many times, but the time of  
4 the second shift is from when to when?

5 A. 2 p.m. to 10 p.m.

6 Q. And do you remember roughly how many inmates were housed  
7 at the jail back at that time?

8 A. I would guess 150.

9 Q. I would like to direct your attention to 6:45 p.m. on  
10 Saturday, November 5th, 2016. Tell the jury where you were  
11 and what you were doing and what you saw.

12 A. I was in the booking office just watching the video. We  
13 have a screen that has, I don't know, maybe 20 different  
14 camera angles, and I noticed there was a bit of a disturbance  
15 in cell 4.

16 Q. And describe for the jury what you saw in cell 4.

17 A. I went and -- well, what I saw on the video?

18 Q. Yes, sir.

19 A. I'm sorry.

20 I saw -- and I don't remember exactly if it was an  
21 aggressive posture towards another inmate or if it was this  
22 head banging that everybody else has talked about. But it  
23 must have been some -- some combination of that or I wouldn't  
24 have gone to talk to him, and I certainly wouldn't have taken  
25 him out of the cell.



1 Q. When you say "him" who are you referring to?

2 A. I'm sorry. Mr. Norris.

3 Q. And tell the jury what you physically did.

4 A. I went into the cell and asked him to stop doing  
5 whatever he was doing, went back to the booking office and  
6 kept on reviewing video, had to go back out again because he  
7 continued the behavior that was questionable.

8 Q. When you first went into cell 4 and gave the verbal  
9 instruction to tell Mr. Norris to stop, how did he react to  
10 that?

11 A. He didn't even seem to know that I was there.

12 Q. And then you left and went back to the booking office?

13 A. Yes, sir.

14 Q. What happened when you went back to the booking office?

15 A. Just a few minutes later he continued his activity that  
16 was unsafe in my eyes.

17 Q. And what was he doing in cell 4 that you found to be  
18 unsafe?

19 A. Like I say, I couldn't remember exactly. I never got to  
20 review any footage of inside that cell, but to the best of my  
21 recollection he was either hurting himself or trying to hurt  
22 somebody.

23 Q. And when you back to cell 4 the second time what did you  
24 do?

25 A. Asked him to come back talk to me and he fused. I asked

1 him to step out of the cell and come talk to me and he  
2 refused.

3 Q. When he refused to step out of the cell, what was the  
4 next step that you took?

5 A. I escorted him out of the cell.

6 Q. And did you have any assistance in escorting him out?

7 A. Yes. Deputy Jeff Key. He walked in right in front of  
8 me. And -- we -- and totally intended to go soft hand, but  
9 he wasn't willing to comply at all.

10 Q. Well, explain to the jury in some detail -- when you and  
11 Officer Key went into it cell 4, describe your interaction  
12 with Mr. Norris.

13 A. I just gave him a verbal command to step out and talk to  
14 me, and he refused. He actually sat down on the bunk,  
15 wouldn't -- wouldn't get up. So we went in and we got him  
16 up.

17 Q. And what -- what were you experiencing when you and  
18 Detective Key put your hands on him --

19 A. Deputy Key.

20 Q. I'm sorry. Deputy Key.

21 A. Go ahead. Sorry.

22 Q. Tell the jury how Mr. Norris was behaving when you and  
23 Detective Key -- I'm sorry -- Officer Key were going to  
24 escort him out of the cell.

25 A. He did not want to come. He was -- he started fighting

1 with us instantly.

2 Q. And did you notice anything about Jordan Norris?

3 A. At that point, you know -- while we're still in the  
4 cell?

5 Q. Yes, sir.

6 A. Nothing in particular, but when we got out cell, tried  
7 to put some cuffs on him, he fought back hard. And when I  
8 say hard, I mean just unbelievably strong. Had no idea that  
9 that was coming. Took me aback a little bit. You may have  
10 seen on the video that I lost my balance and he about, you  
11 know, took us down, too. So. . .

12 Q. And so it's clear for the record, how tall are you?

13 A. 6'2".

14 Q. And how much did you weigh at that time?

15 A. 320.

16 Q. And how about Officer Key?

17 A. 6', about 200, probably.

18 Q. And were you surprised at the amount of strength that  
19 Mr. Norris was exhibiting?

20 A. Absolutely.

21 Q. And what size of a man was Mr. Norris?

22 A. Approximately 5'8", 160, 170 maybe.

23 Q. Describe for the jury what happened outside of cell 4  
24 when you and Officer Key were dealing with --

25 A. We were trying to get him cuffed up behind his back. He

1 didn't want to do that. I instructed -- or Jeff may have  
2 instructed Josh to get the chair ready because we knew he was  
3 going to be a problem. Deputy Bratton showed up at some  
4 point. I don't remember if I called him or if he just  
5 happened to be there. And he tased him in the back while we  
6 were trying to fight him, get the cuffs on. He ended up  
7 tasing all three of us and Mr. Norris. But eventually we got  
8 his cuffs on and got him over to the chair.

9 Q. And you told the jury that everybody ended up getting  
10 tased. Describe that in a little bit more detail.

11 A. It was just an accidental thing. You know, it was a  
12 struggle, a very fierce struggle. So Mr. Bratton tried to  
13 place it on Mr. Norris and it just wouldn't stay where it  
14 needed to be.

15 Q. Do you remember how many times Deputy Bratton tased  
16 Mr. Norris?

17 A. At the time I had no idea, but, you know, we've looked  
18 over everything now and I know he tased him four times.

19 Q. And that was in the -- some of those tases were in the  
20 process of trying to get Mr. Norris in handcuffs; is that  
21 right?

22 A. Correct.

23 Q. And do you remember which correctional officer applied  
24 the handcuffs?

25 A. Jeff Key was the one that had the right hand done for

1 sure. I don't know if it was me or Jeff Key got the left  
2 hand. Because Jeff was on the right side and he pulled his  
3 cuffs out. So I know he got the right hand for sure. I'm  
4 not sure about who got the left hand. But it got done  
5 eventually.

6 Q. And do you remember at what point in the process that  
7 you all were able to get cuffs onto Mr. Norris?

8 A. After fighting with him for a couple of minutes -- you  
9 know, I don't know specifically anything that -- it wasn't  
10 because we asked him to. We made him do it. I don't know if  
11 it was when Mr. Bratton had gotten a Taser on him and he  
12 complied or if it was just we finally just got the strength  
13 to get his arms where we needed them.

14 Q. Was he cuffed before he was placed in the restraint  
15 chair?

16 A. That's right.

17 Q. And was there any more tasing to get him into the  
18 restraint chair?

19 A. After we got him to the chair, he kind of straightened  
20 out, and there was one more application to his abdomen that  
21 made his butt sit down in the seat.

22 Q. And who did that tase?

23 A. Mr. Bratton.

24 Q. And tell -- tell the jury what happened after you got  
25 him placed in the restraint chair.

1 A. He seemed to be cooperative. I mean, when your hands  
2 are behind your back, there's not a whole lot of fighting you  
3 can do. And we got his legs secured pretty quickly, and the  
4 lap belt put on pretty quickly. And that -- that was it for  
5 the moment.

6 Q. And did he seem to be under the influence of anything in  
7 your interaction with him?

8 A. In my experience the way he was acting, yes. He was  
9 talking a little crazy, gibberish you might say. I don't  
10 remember exactly all the details of what he had to say, but  
11 it didn't have anything to do with what we were saying to  
12 him. He wasn't -- he wasn't actively saying, no, I'm not  
13 going to do anything. He was just talking just out of his  
14 head. I really don't remember.

15 Q. When he was first placed in the restraint chair, was he  
16 cuffed behind his back?

17 A. Yes, sir.

18 Q. Did there come a point in time that you decided that you  
19 wanted to move his hands from the back to the front?

20 A. Yes, sir, about 15 minutes later. He had been very  
21 calm, no issue. And that's just standard practice, to move  
22 from the back to the soft restraints, mainly because he could  
23 be hurting his hands. And it's -- it's a comfort issue, when  
24 you have your hands behind your back, sitting on that hard  
25 plastic chair. I just wanted to get his hands out in front

1 of him so he would be more comfortable.

2 Q. When you made the decision to move his hands from the  
3 back to the front, tell the jury what happened.

4 A. At that point -- you know, in my -- in my report, I  
5 didn't remember it. You know, even that day, I didn't  
6 remember it in the right order. The restraints going from  
7 the back -- the handcuffs from the back to the front, there  
8 was never any tasing that happened during that period. It  
9 took us about seven minutes to talk to him, get him to agree.  
10 Mr. Bratton came up with a Taser and put it on him but never  
11 activated it or -- or pulled the trigger. And he finally  
12 complied with getting his hands into the soft restraint.

13 Q. And what happened after his hands were placed in those  
14 soft restraints?

15 A. We just did our normal checks for a while. There wasn't  
16 any issue until about 8:00 p.m. when I guess Jeff Key had  
17 gone over and saw that one of his hands had become very  
18 loose. There's a strap -- there's a cuff that goes on the  
19 hand --

20 Q. And you're indicating your right hand; is that right?

21 A. Yes. But it works the same way for either hand.

22 There's a cuff, and then a strap is connected to that that  
23 you pull to get it right to where you -- it's supposed to be,  
24 sitting in the chair. And that strap had come loose by a  
25 foot. He was able to reach up to his face. I don't know

1 exactly what Jeff Key saw that made him realize that was  
2 going on, but he's the one that saw it and alerted us to it,  
3 and we went to go remedy that situation.

4 Q. And why would it be a concern for a correctional officer  
5 if an inmate in a restraint chair was able to get his arm  
6 free?

7 A. Well, you can do all the hypotheticals you want, but  
8 mainly he wasn't secured like he should have been. So that  
9 was what the goal was, to get him secured like he should have  
10 been.

11 Q. So I understand it completely, the cuff was around his  
12 right wrist; is that right?

13 A. That's right.

14 Q. There was nothing defective with the cuff?

15 A. I wouldn't say nothing. It was frayed. The Velcro  
16 was -- I guess -- the integrity of the Velcro had  
17 deteriorated.

18 Q. But what about the strap that's attached to the cuff?

19 A. The strap was also deteriorated. It wasn't broken. It  
20 wasn't cut. The main problem wasn't the actual strap. It  
21 was the locking mechanism that keeps that strap in place.  
22 And it's kind of like a -- like a -- not a ratchet strap, but  
23 there's another kind of tie-down that you just pull, and it's  
24 kind of spring loaded, and it's got some little teeth on it  
25 that when you pull something tight it stays in place. And I



1 guess those teeth maybe were wore out. But he was able to  
2 pull a good foot of slack out of that strap.

3 Q. And pull in what direction?

4 A. I don't know how he did it, if he went up or out or  
5 down. But the problem we were having is he was holding his  
6 arm down to the side. And it needed to be up here in the  
7 location that the chair was designed for it to be.

8 Q. And you said that Officer Key noticed that?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. And how did he react do that?

11 A. We need to get it fixed.

12 Q. And tell the jury what happened.

13 A. We went to go put the strap back to where it needed to  
14 be, gave verbal commands. It doesn't show on any videos that  
15 anybody's exhibited, that we're there talking to him for a  
16 while trying to get him to do what we want. And he just  
17 won't. And --

18 Q. And what --

19 Go ahead. I'm sorry.

20 A. And he's telling us he won't.

21 Q. What sort of conversation are you having with  
22 Mr. Norris?

23 A. That he needs to do what I say.

24 Q. And what were you asking him to do?

25 A. To put his arm where it was supposed to be so we could

1 tighten the strap.

2 Q. And how did he react to those questions?

3 A. He just fought us.

4 Q. When you say fought, fought verbally or fought  
5 physically?

6 A. Physically. He was not going to let Jeff Key have that  
7 arm.

8 Q. Did there come a point in time that the Taser was used?

9 A. Absolutely.

10 Q. And how was that decision made to use that Taser force?

11 A. It's just the next step in the force continuum.

12 Q. Consistent with the training that you received?

13 A. Yes, sir.

14 Q. As you were interacting with Mr. Norris on November 5,  
15 2016, were you aware of any Taser policy that limited the  
16 number of tases?

17 A. No, sir.

18 Q. Were you aware of any policy that limited the duration  
19 of any tases?

20 A. No, sir.

21 Q. What were operating under in your mind at that point in  
22 time?

23 A. Least amount of force necessary.

24 Q. So describe for the jury what happened in terms of the  
25 use of the Taser.

1 A. I gave him verbal commands. I asked Deputy Johnson to  
2 bring me the Taser.

3 Q. Would that be Caitlin Johnson?

4 A. That's correct.

5 Q. Now known as Mrs. Marriott?

6 A. That's correct. She brought it to me. I activated it.  
7 I put it on his probably mid -- right between his abdomen and  
8 chest, right here, area, and told him that if he didn't  
9 comply that I was going to tase him. And I did for five  
10 seconds. Not sure if I told him I was going to do it again,  
11 but I did it again for five seconds on his same area. That  
12 was ineffective, so I moved to his leg, told him I was going  
13 to do it again. And that's when I tased for approximately 20  
14 seconds.

15 Q. When you said it was ineffective, what about it was  
16 ineffective?

17 A. He wasn't complying.

18 Q. And how was he evidencing to you all that he was not  
19 complying?

20 A. By keeping his arm down to the side, fighting with all  
21 of his might to not let Jeff Key put it where it needed to  
22 be.

23 Q. And at that point in time had Officer Key grabbed that  
24 loose right arm?

25 A. Yes. He had a hand -- probably two hands, yes.

1 Q. And what was he trying to do with Mr. Norris' right arm?

2 A. He was adjusting something on the cuff. I wasn't  
3 really -- I wasn't really looking at that part. I was just  
4 trying to talk to Mr. Norris. And Josh was behind him  
5 controlling his -- his head. And I'm just waiting for Jeff  
6 to be done because he should be done. This should be over  
7 with.

8 Q. The 20-second tase that you described to the members of  
9 the jury, where on the leg was it?

10 A. About mid thigh. We were trained to hit the largest  
11 possible muscle group.

12 Q. Did you ever tase Mr. Norris on his knee?

13 A. No, sir.

14 Q. The front of the knee?

15 A. No, sir.

16 Q. The side of the knee?

17 A. No, sir.

18 Q. The kneecap?

19 A. There's no muscles there, and I would not have.

20 THE COURT: All right. Ladies and gentlemen, as I  
21 previously explained, we're going to stop here for the day,  
22 resume in the morning at 8:30. Again, I appreciate you all's  
23 patience and understanding. Remember you can't talk about  
24 the case, you can't let anyone talk to you about the case.  
25 You're not to do any research or anything about the case. So

1 until about 8:15 tomorrow just put the case out of your mind.  
2 We'll come back then and resume the testimony. So have a  
3 safe afternoon. Thanks.

4 (Court adjourned.)

5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

2  
3 I, Lise S. Matthews, Official Court Reporter for  
4 the United States District Court for the Middle District of  
5 Tennessee, with offices at Nashville, do hereby certify:

6 That I reported on the Stenograph machine the  
7 proceedings held in open court on February 6, 2019, in the  
8 matter of UNITED STATES OF AMERICA v. MARK BRYANT, Case  
9 No. 3:18-cr-00144; that said proceedings in connection with  
10 the hearing were reduced to typewritten form by me; and that  
11 the foregoing transcript (pages 1 through 117) is a true and  
12 accurate record of said proceedings.

13 This the 24th day of March, 2019.

14  
15 /s/ Lise S. Matthews  
16 LISE S. MATTHEWS, RMR, CRR, CRC  
17 Official Court Reporter  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25